Wednesday, August 28, 2013 10:35 AM CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL Sent: To:

[EXTERNAL] Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan Form Subject:

Attachments: img004.jpg

Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

Please PF														
Your Na Address	US	SP	riv	ac	;y	Ac	ct		5 L	JS		55	2	(b)
E-mail:														
Please ci														
the Prefe	erred Al	ternative	- Altern	ative 2	"Bala	nced Us	e":							
2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10					
Strongly			152					Str	rongly					
Disappro	yė							Ap	prove					
What are	the mo	st import	tant fact	ors tha	t affec	t your o	oinia	n?						
Other Co	already lives. I beautifi of the v (100' fi	strongly a s, and to add Boat traffic al cove to the vaves. Alon rom docks)	d more hig from Big he point the ng with the	gh density Cedar, B hat our part, we har	y would lig Bear rivate a ve the r	destroy , State Pand small rental boa	what wark Ma	ve ha irina inity	and Paradi docks are	hard for ise Point a damaged	and sav already by the	ed for all consume consent be	our attering	
	What' the lake Now t	will Big onmental as s enough se for a to ell me, is lling pow	Cedar sensitive?? This ourname that good	past spi nt all ni od for th	to prof ring he ight lo	be sto fitable rate was along. The	noney llowed ey we	ma d to re so	have "B	inesses IG" Jet at it ma	for his powerede my	pockets ed boats house s	s? s on hake.	
	Our c	hoice wo	uld be A	lterna	tive 20	C or A	lterna	ativ	e 3.					
-		N. 5												
							-							
	-									The Post of the Po				

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605,

Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil , Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Written comments must be postmarked, e-mailed, faxed, or otherwise submitted by August 30, 2013.

Sent:

Wednesday, August 28, 2013 10:34 AM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan

We do not agree with the 50' buffer zone between the lake and land. Children would be unable to play in the lake by the docks due to the vegetation and snakes, etc would be for worse than they are now. We would favor Alternative 2D. If you are on the lake now, you see more vegetation than areas that are mowed. We are on an upper tier, but if we were on the lake, we would certainly want to have it mowed. We are concerned that too much development would result in septic issues if not regulated and don't want the lake over run with commercializm. Thank you for your work on the proposals.

Sent:

Wednesday, August 28, 2013 10:11 AM


To: Subject: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL [EXTERNAL] master plan

Hello,

I previously commented on the master plan. However I didn't state that I strongly disapprove of Alternative 2.

I SUPPORT 2-D ONLY.

Thank you again.



Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2013 10:01 AM

To: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL Subject: [EXTERNAL] lake front

I'm writing to you on behalf of 1 on the Table Rock Master Plan. It really doesn't make sense to have a grown up area around the lake front that has a boat dock or beach. By doing that, you will expose people to illness and injury. As a nurse, I have treated people with snake bites, tick born illness and disease, and broken ankles and arms. I want to urge you to really think about your decision. Thank you,

Sent:

Wednesday, August 28, 2013 9:59 AM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Comments concerning Table Rock Revised Master Plan

Attachments:

3118_001.pdf

Attended Shell Knob Public Meeting Aug 15.

Appreciate your effort to get public comments.

See comments attached. I am both a shoreline property/building owner and a boat dock slip owner.

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by Aug	ust 30, 2013.	periodica
Please P C Dr 1/4	acy Act	5 USC 552 (b
Your Na	aby Abi	3 030 332 (D
Address		
E-mail:		
Please circle the number that best	represents your opinion	of
the Preferred Alternative – Alterna)	
1 2 3 4 5	6 7 8 9	10
Strongly		Strongly
Disapprove		Approve
What are the most important facto	rs that affect your opinion	on?
LOGICAL, CONT		HORELING PLANNING
Other Comments ATIKNY	DED SHELL	KNOR OPEN HOURS 8/1
1) VIOGO WAS		CONPLEY TO BE FIFTET
Cours Not	COMPREHENY	ALL THE OPTIONS
CONGIDEREP.		1146 1170.03
6) PEOPLY ON C	off Wape	VERY HELPFUL
	WHYR ZONE	IS CONFUSING, NOT
ENOUGH SPEC	TELC INFO	AUPILABLE ON WHAT
BUYFER		E PONE IN MIS
A) Co. UE	HICKE BE	DRIVEN THRU/TA IT?
B) WHO W	JICE CLEPT	
	FLEDDING C	CLURC & LAKE DERRIS
C) Is AN		
PERMITT		
D) WHAT IS	Ren Pup	POSE OF BULLED!

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil, Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Written comments must be postmarked, e-mailed, faxed, or otherwise submitted by August 30, 2013.

Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2013 9:17 AM

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL]

To:

Corps of Engineers Table Rock Lake:

I have listened to the master plan video but have not been able to listen to a live presentation. I just have a few concerns about the plan especially the the 50 foot area from 915.

As property owners we pay a premium price for lakefront property. Right now when you but property it is lake front, lake view, or near the lake with no view. By doing this 50 foot restriction that is turning our premium property into lake view like the second tier properties. So there is a large loss of value to those owners.

My second concern is maintaining our docks. If we can't control brush and trees around them with the water levels fluctuating due to weather we can have serious damage to the docks, lifts, or even the boats of owners.

My family and I just became lake people about 4 years ago and truly enjoy Table Rock Lake. We had a choice of buying property on Grand Lake and Beaver Lake but felt Table Rock was the best.

As with anything you always have people upset about how things are done, half are pleased the other half not. But it seems like in this day and age we are told more and more how we are going to live our lives. I personally don't see why we need this put in place. If there are people out there breaking the current rules then they need to be addressed.

Table Rock is a beautiful lake very scenic and picturesque. There are beautiful homes throughout the lake, nice swim areas, campgrounds, etc. I feel by letting the brush and trees grow up you are taking away a lot of the beauty of Table Rock Lake, a part we all enjoy.

Thanks for reading

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Sent:

Wednesday, August 28, 2013 9:05 AM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Table Rock Lake Master Plan Comments

I have read the various proposals for revising the Table Rock Lake Master Plan. Overall, I think the Corps of Engineers has done a reasonbly good job with a difficult task. I support Alternative 2 with option D (no Vegetative Management Area). Having what amounts to an untouchable area extending approximately 50 feet from the water line would only serve to make it more difficult to access the lake for legitimate uses.

Thank you, US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Wednesday, August 28, 2013 8:50 AM Sent:

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b) Cc:

[EXTERNAL] Attn: Dana Coburn, Chief Environmental Branch, Planning & Environmental, Subject:

USACE

TableRockLakeMasterPlanDoc US Privacy Act 5 USG 552 (b) Attachments:

Attn: Dana Coburn, Chief Environmental Branch, Planning & Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District

Please see attachment as to our comments to the proposed Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment.

Thank you!

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

Your N Addre	JS	F	Pri	va	СУ	A	ct	5	USC	552	2 (b)	
E-mail												
Please ci	rcle th rred A	ie nui Altern	mber t	hat bes - Alterr	st repre	sents y	our op	inion o se":	f			1
1 2	:	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
Strongly Disappro	ve								Strongly Approve			
What are	the m	lost i	mporta		tors tha		t your	opinior	1?		man landing the state of the same against a	
		U			()							
			~~									
Other Cor	nmen	ts										
Tho	(10)	nos	5 S	OBIL	1	JOT	(0)) / λα	ato a F	50% h	1 FAR	^
vega	tati	re			mon	tas	0 a	are	and th	10 Clak	e e	or_
767+	70 KO			0	7.				Λ			
dis do	Lie l	00	mao	acti	$\frac{1}{2}$	Cha	ina	back	at deck of		uso a	
					0		The state of the s		a nour o	2 MAT	line 30	mino
esta	te	val	ny	act	ton		at i		ld negat	ively a	Hect o	real
					0 '							
								······································		****		*****
						Charles are a second and a second					***	
				-								
					***************************************	Management Transport States						

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M47RMP@usace.army.mil, Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx
Written comments must be postmarked, e-mailed, faxed, or otherwise submitted by August 30, 2013.

Sent:

Wednesday, August 28, 2013 8:27 AM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Master Plan and Environmental Assessment Proposal

Attachments:

p 3

Scan0007.pdf

Thank you for your consideration of this matter. I hope you take these types of suggestions seriously.

Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

Address:	cy Act 5 L	JSC 552 (b)
Please circle the number that best the Preferred Alternative – Alterna	represents your opinion of tive 2 "Balanced Use":	
1) 2 3 4 5 Strongly Disapprove		10 trongly pprove
What are the most important factor Manage ment classi The store line area main tain the land i excessive errosi	fication would Property owner to the shore line	The SO Foot Vegitative
Over Alternative		tive 4 on Alternative 1

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil, Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx
Written comments must be postmarked, e-mailed, faxed, or otherwise submitted by August 30, 2013.

Sent:

Wednesday, August 28, 2013 7:51 AM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Master Plan Revision Email Address

I, or should I say We as all neighbors are in agreement that option 2D is what we asked for in the beginning. No 50' band around the lake. At the very least the existing shoreline vegetation permits should be grandfathered. Option 2 is more government than anyone wants at this point especially with this administration.

Sent:

Wednesday, August 28, 2013 6:49 AM

To: Subject: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL [EXTERNAL] Master Plan

Hi,

As the old saying goes "If it aint broke, don't fix it". I vote for NO CHANGE to the existing plan.

Sent: To: Wednesday, August 28, 2013 12:36 AM CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Sent from my iPad Dear Ms. Coburn:

We live across from Fisher Creek fronting Table Rock Lake on Primrose Lane in Kimberling City, and have for the past 25 years. We've raised a family here, and have greatly enjoyed living in such a beautiful area.

One of the most appealing aspects of life here has been the relatively quiet surround, and the ability to live with nature and the environment.

Things have changed though, over the past few years, and if the proposed designation of High Density Recreation Use goes forward, we strongly feel they will change even more dramatically for the worse.

There already exists increased boat traffic (with attendant noise and boat wake). The boat wakes at busy times frequently lead to expensive damage on existing permitted docks as a result of the near constant motion of the water.

Late night high volume music from a local entertainment establishment just off Fisher Creek now occurs every Friday and Saturday night <x-apple-data-detectors://4> , (with the addition of Sunday evenings on long Holiday weekends) and can be disturbing to pets and those of us who must awaken early to go to work. Very frequent fishing tournaments with insanely early start times and loudspeaker directions to participants have also had an impact on our community and lives.

All those things would, however, pale in comparison to the changes wrought by a HIGH DENSITY RECREATION USE classification.

The possibility of high density high rise housing coupled with more dock space and additional boat launch ramp facilities has the potential to devastate our little community. Unfortunately, many of the people this designation would likely attract (by the very nature of high impact tourist related activity) wouldn't care much about protecting the environment. Our family has been walking the shoreline picking up trash and debris for the past several years. The recent volume of trash has sadly increased significantly. We can only imagine the result high density recreational use would have on the area.

We are 100% opposed to this change, and respectfully ask re-consideration of this designation. We strongly feel this change would be totally incompatible with the City, and citizens of Kimberling City.

Low density recreational use would have much less impact on both citizens and the environment as a whole. Thank you for your consideration.

Most sincerely yours,

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 11:45 PM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: Attachments: [EXTERNAL] Table Rock Master Plan revision comment form, attn Dana Coburn

COE Master Plan comments.pdf

Please accept the attached pdf (also pasted into the body of this email) in lieu of your comment form. There was not enough room on the form to include all my comments.

Thank you

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment Comment Form

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

The number that best represents my opinion of the Preferred Alternative - Alternative 2 "Balanced Use": 10

The most important factors that affect my opinion:

The strong desire to protect the environmental, recreational, aesthetic, cultural, socioeconomic and health and safety resources of the portion of the town of Beaver, Butler Creek and the Upper White River from SWEPCO's current proposed 345 kV transmission line and any other development that might threaten these resources.

Other Comments:

I have reviewed the Draft Master Plan for Table Rock Lake. My area of greatest concern is the Upper White River, specifically, near the town of Beaver and the Butler Creek arm of Table Rock Lake.

I support the preferred option, #2, of "balanced use" and the proposed designation of shoreline in these areas as "environmentally sensitive" and "low density recreation," particularly in response to SWEPCO's plan to cross the White River near this location with a 345 kV transmission line, to which I am highly opposed.

In referencing the Corps of Engineers' letter to SWEPCO that they not cross Beaver Lake or the White River at Inspiration Point with this transmission line, I would like to offer the following information on the potential negative impacts to the Beaver Town area of the White River/Table Rock Lake, as noted on Map Sheet #24 under Option #2 of the proposed Table Rock Master Plan revision, should SWEPCO succeed in going forward with their preferred route, which they call "Route 33/109."

Background:

In 2001, the Arkansas Highway and Transportation Department proposed replacing the one-lane, wooden, swinging Beaver Bridge with a concrete bridge with 12 foot lanes and 8 foot shoulders.

After five years of public hearings, studies, statements by legislators and extensive press coverage, AHTD withdrew the plan under "no action." In its announcement, it stated one of the main reasons for taking no action was because of massive and virulent public outcry against it. AHTD also recognized and believed local residents who convinced them that the impacts of this project would be highly detrimental to the area, in terms of the health and safety of residents, the negative economic impact to tourism and environmental and cultural issues.

Today, similar issues apply when it comes to SWEPCO's Route 33/109 near the town of Beaver. The AHTD public comment process took five years; SWEPCO wants to wrap this up in five months. It is not nearly enough time to take into consideration all the viewpoints and needs and make the best decision for all concerned, especially as the Corps is still in the draft stage of its master plan for Table Rock Lake. Any SWEPCO route that proposes to cross the White River or Table Rock Lake should be denied at best or delayed at least to give the Corps time to complete studies and process public comment and expert testimony on environmental, socioeconomic, aesthetic, recreational, health and cultural impacts from such a plan in light of its own future plans for the watershed and shoreline here.

Health, Safety and Environmental Concerns:

Butler Creek flows from Seligman, Missouri and crosses into Arkansas and alongside County Road 232, also known as Butler Hollow. The creek, year-round, except in extreme drought conditions, crosses Hwy. 187 and continues alongside it through the valley below steep, 1,200-foot ridges on either side of the highway until it eventually empties into the White River/Table Rock Lake at the end of the Beaver Walking Trail. Several runoff creeks from this 1,200-foot ridge flow downhill to Butler Creek, adding to the water coming from Seligman. Even during a light rain, these runoff creeks contribute water to Butler Creek, which empties into the White River at Beaver.

SWEPCO's Route 33/109 would cross Hwy. 187 above where CR 232 and Hwy. 187 meet. SWEPCO proposes to run the transmission eastward all along this 1,200-foot ridge, then to turn the line south and then east, to cross the White River to a sparse rural development known as "Beaver Meadows."

It is important to note that the map provided to SWEPCO by the Burns & McDonnell Engineering Co. is inaccurate; not only does it not show Butler Creek, it does not even show the White River beyond Beaver Dam, and there are two water crossings of it within segment AL.

While most of the ridge is forested, a large swath of it, to the east and west of County Road 233, is mowed for cattle pasture. A large swath of this mowed pasture goes right to the top of the ridge. Again, even during light rains, runoff creeks run down, not only the mowed pasture hill, but through the woods. These runoff streams cross CR 233 and continue downhill past homes on the north side of 233 and into Butler Creek.

During the heavy rains of the last five years, in 2008, 2011 and this year, Butler Creek swelled to extremely high levels, and in both 2008 and 2011, the Corps of Engineers released water from Beaver Dam that flooded the White River. Flood waters brought debris that damaged Beaver Bridge, causing AHTD to close it for several months in 2008, as it needed repairs, and again in 2011 for the same reason. Flooding from the White River backed up Butler Creek and also spilled over onto Hwy. 187 at CR 233, making passage impossible in either direction for those who live in the area. Butler Creek, both from backup waters from the White River and from runoff from the steep ridges along either side of it, also flooded pasture lands and threatened homes. In 2011, the end of the small metal bridge that crosses CR 233 washed out, stranding many families who live along that road, as there is no other way out onto Hwy. 187, even onto a stretch without flooding. Further west along Hwy. 187, where it curves and goes

uphill at CR 232, the force of the water in Butler Creek destroyed large portions of the Arkansas side of the CR 232 roadway, in 2011, at great cost to repair.

This year, earlier this month, once again the CR 233 bridge washed out when 10 inches of rain that fell overnight in Seligman, and along Butler Hollow made a torrent of Butler Creek, completely washing out Railway Winery along Hwy. 187 just west of CR 233 and again portions of CR 232 in Butler Hollow. This year, Butler Creek levels rose without any releases from Beaver Dam, once again spilling over onto Hwy. 187 and stranding residents. The highway was closed, as was the Beaver Bridge again for a short time.

As of this writing, several days ago some friends and I kayaked from Beaver Town up the Butler Creek arm, hoping to reach a long-established Great Blue Heron heronry, with 44 documented nests. (Please refer to Map Sheet #24 under Option #2.)

Within a very short distance up the creek, at the tip of the peninsula extending from a large area designated in green as "environmentally sensitive," we encountered massive amounts of stagnant water so thick we were not able to continue. In this "soup" of debris floated tree branches, logs, glass bottles, trash and appliances such as refrigerators, all washed down Butler Creek in the flooding earlier this month. Once another heavy rain occurs, this debris will flow out into the White River/Table Rock Lake, but when that will occur is anyone's guess. In the meantime, that stagnant pool of trash, sludge and debris is very close to the heronry and may affect the birds' nesting behaviors come next Spring as it could affect the quality of their drinking water and the health and survival of the fish and other aquatic animals in that section of the creek that they rely on to feed their young.

Although the "flowage easement" of Butler Creek, designated on Map Sheet #24, does not extend west to where County Road 233 crosses the creek and intersects Hwy. 187, it really should, and continue all the way up to Seligman, Missouri. Even a moderate rain causes this creek to flow with constant motion. All the runoff from the hills above it on either side of Hwy. 187 and from Butler Hollow (County Road 232), washes down into the creek and eventually finds its way into the White River at Beaver. Upstream, a gravel operation and several cow/calf operations have been a source of contaminants and have caused landowners downstream some problems, affecting aquatic species habitat and property boundary issues due to rechanneling of the creek from floodwaters.

As shown on Map Sheet #24, several areas in the Butler Creek arm and along the river shoreline south of Beaver are designated as "environmentally sensitive" or "low density recreation" on both sides of the river. One such area, shown on the west side of the river, where there is a break in the shoreline less than a mile from Butler Creek, with a small sandbar or island across from it, is where SWEPCO wants to bring across its transmission line. There is already a flowage easement at that location. The herbicide runoff from this transmission line corridor, not only from the steep hills above Butler Creek, but from the line as it approaches the White River directly, would flow into the river and toward Beaver town. It could potentially affect the drinking water wells of Beaver residents.

Another concern for those of us who live off CR 233 is the herbicides used for vegetation management along the cleared corridor. Those herbicides, including glyphosate, which has been shown in a recent peer-reviewed study published in June to cause breast cancer at extremely low concentrations, will mostly likely run down the hill and into the drinking water wells of the homes along CR 233, including mine. As a cancer survivor, I am extremely concerned about this potential contamination of my drinking water. One of the other homes uses a natural spring as its drinking water source, and this spring will likely be contaminated by herbicide runoff.

In the Corps' master plan, there is mention of a possible future public water supply coming from Table Rock Lake. If the White River/Table Rock Lake is crossed at Beaver, the crossing will likely be upstream of any water treatment plant. What will be the cost to remove the

chemical cocktail that will wash down as runoff into the river from SWEPCO's transmission line corridor? Remember, it will not only be runoff from the water crossing location, but from all along Hwy. 187 where the runoff will flow into Butler Creek and down into the White River at Beaver.

The area where SWEPCO wants to cross the White River has been identified by local river-front landowners at citizens' meetings as an area breeding ground for a species of mussel found nowhere else in the world. The impacts to this species could be devastating. The Corps needs to make a careful study of this area. There are other species impacted here as well, such as Bald Eagles, Indiana Bats and others. These species have recently been identified in the SWEPCO hearings taking place in Little Rock this week, but SWEPCO's EIS makes little mention of them.

Aesthetics and Socioeconomic Impacts:

Tourism is the only industry the greater Eureka Springs area has, and the town of Beaver, with its one-lane swinging bridge, its walking trail (along a historic stagecoach route and railroad bed), and its Corps of Engineers-leased campground, is a vital part of this industry.

The Beaver Bridge, the park, the river here and the bluffs across from the Beaver campground, as well as Hwy. 187 leaving Beaver and going through a scenic valley, including Butler Hollow, are highly popular tourist attractions, especially for many car and motorcycle clubs that visit every season. The area is so beautiful that two major motion pictures have been filmed here, "Elizabethtown" (starring Orlando Bloom), in which the Beaver Bridge was featured and and "Chrystal" (starring Billy Bob Thornton), portions of which were filmed in Butler Hollow. This area will be marred by the sight of 150-foot towers marching across the ridge and across the White River. The view of the transmission line from the Beaver Bridge will spoil the photographic and filmmaking opportunities of visitors and professional entertainment outfits.

Cultural resources:

The area in segment AL with specific regard to the town of Beaver, the valley of Hwy. 187 between Beaver and CR 232 and the area where SWEPCO wants to cross the White River all have cultural significance. The town of Beaver, specifically at the Beaver Bridge crossing, is rich with history, including Civil War history and earlier, with a crossing there of the ill-fated Fancher wagon train families who met their end at the Mountain Meadows Massacre in Utah, and which today is commemorated by descendents of the survivors.

The riverbed at and near Beaver has also produced thousands of arrowheads and other indigenous Native artifacts. The area where SWEPCO wishes to cross the river is purported to have a shell mound dating back to Native use.

Hwy. 187 through the valley was the route of the stagecoach line and later, the railroad, and the train trestle crossing at the Butler Creek arm of the White River can still be seen today, along with the iron train bridge from the turn of the century. This area is rich in the history of Carroll County, and many do not understand that the area around Beaver, including "Brooklyn," and Elk Ranch, had thriving communities at one time and great historical significance.

It is clear from the documents SWEPCO submitted in their application process, that they failed to acknowledge or perhaps did not know the extent of the impacts Route 33/109 could have in this area.

For all the reasons stated above, I support the Corp's Option #2 for this area of the White River and Table Rock Lake, and support the proposed designations of "environmentally sensitive" and "low density recreation" as shown on Map Sheet #24.

Furthermore, I am asking the Corps of Engineers to contact the Arkansas Public Service Commission immediately in writing to deny the selection of Route 33/109 for SWEPCO's transmission line. That route would be in direct conflict with the stated goals of the Corps' preferred choice of Option #2. After this week, APSC judge Connie Griffin has 60 days to make a recommendation on SWEPCO's proposal. Please tell her that you will deny this route to SWEPCO because of the potentially devastating impacts on the watershed, the water crossing and the cultural, economic, aesthetic and recreational resources at this location.

Thank you. US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment Comment Form

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

The number that best represents my opinion of the Preferred Alternative - Alternative 2 "Balanced Use": 10

The most important factors that affect my opinion:

The strong desire to protect the environmental, recreational, aesthetic, cultural, socioeconomic and health and safety resources of the portion of the town of Beaver, Butler Creek and the Upper White River from SWEPCO's current proposed 345 kV transmission line and any other development that might threaten these resources.

Other Comments:

I have reviewed the Draft Master Plan for Table Rock Lake. My area of greatest concern is the Upper White River, specifically, near the town of Beaver and the Butler Creek arm of Table Rock Lake.

I support the preferred option, #2, of "balanced use" and the proposed designation of shoreline in these areas as "environmentally sensitive" and "low density recreation," particularly in response to SWEPCO's plan to cross the White River near this location with a 345 kV transmission line, to which I am highly opposed.

In referencing the Corps of Engineers' letter to SWEPCO that they not cross Beaver Lake or the White River at Inspiration Point with this transmission line, I would like to offer the following information on the potential negative impacts to the Beaver Town area of the White River/Table Rock Lake, as noted on Map Sheet #24 under Option #2 of the proposed Table Rock Master Plan revision, should SWEPCO succeed in going forward with their preferred route, which they call "Route 33/109."

Background:

In 2001, the Arkansas Highway and Transportation Department proposed replacing the one-lane, wooden, swinging Beaver Bridge with a concrete bridge with 12 foot lanes and 8 foot shoulders.

After five years of public hearings, studies, statements by legislators and extensive press coverage, AHTD withdrew the plan under "no action." In its announcement, it stated one of the main reasons for taking no action was because of massive and virulent public outcry against it. AHTD also recognized and believed local residents who convinced them that the impacts of this project would be highly detrimental to the area, in terms of the health and safety of residents, the negative economic impact to tourism and environmental and cultural issues.

Today, similar issues apply when it comes to SWEPCO's Route 33/109 near the town of Beaver. The AHTD public comment process took five years; SWEPCO wants to wrap this up in five months. It is not nearly enough time to take into consideration all the viewpoints and needs and make the best decision for all concerned, especially as the Corps is still in the draft stage of its master plan for Table Rock Lake. Any SWEPCO route that proposes to cross the White River or Table Rock Lake should be denied at best or delayed at least to give the Corps time to complete studies and process public comment and expert testimony on environmental, socioeconomic, aesthetic, recreational, health and cultural impacts from such a plan in light of its own future plans for the watershed and shoreline here.

Health, Safety and Environmental Concerns:

Butler Creek flows from Seligman, Missouri and crosses into Arkansas and alongside County Road 232, also known as Butler Hollow. The creek, year-round, except in extreme drought conditions, crosses Hwy. 187 and continues alongside it through the valley below steep, 1,200-foot ridges on either side of the highway until it eventually empties into the White River/Table Rock Lake at the end of the Beaver Walking Trail. Several runoff creeks from this 1,200-foot ridge flow downhill to Butler Creek, adding to the water coming from Seligman. Even during a light rain, these runoff creeks contribute water to Butler Creek, which empties into the White River at Beaver.

SWEPCO's Route 33/109 would cross Hwy. 187 above where CR 232 and Hwy. 187 meet. SWEPCO proposes to run the transmission eastward all along this 1,200-foot ridge, then to turn the line south and then east, to cross the White River to a sparse rural development known as "Beaver Meadows."

It is important to note that the map provided to SWEPCO by the Burns & McDonnell Engineering Co. is inaccurate; not only does it not show Butler Creek, it does not even show the White River beyond Beaver Dam, and there are two

water crossings of it within segment AL.

While most of the ridge is forested, a large swath of it, to the east and west of County Road 233, is mowed for cattle pasture. A large swath of this mowed pasture goes right to the top of the ridge. Again, even during light rains, runoff creeks run down, not only the mowed pasture hill, but through the woods. These runoff streams cross CR 233 and continue downhill past homes on the north side of 233 and into Butler Creek.

During the heavy rains of the last five years, in 2008, 2011 and this year, Butler Creek swelled to extremely high levels, and in both 2008 and 2011, the Corps of Engineers released water from Beaver Dam that flooded the White River. Flood waters brought debris that damaged Beaver Bridge, causing AHTD to close it for several months in 2008, as it needed repairs, and again in 2011 for the same reason. Flooding from the White River backed up Butler Creek and also spilled over onto Hwy. 187 at CR 233, making passage impossible in either direction for those who live in the area. Butler Creek, both from backup waters from the White River and from runoff from the steep ridges along either side of it, also flooded pasture lands and threatened homes. In 2011, the end of the small metal bridge that crosses CR 233 washed out, stranding many families who live along that road, as there is no other way out onto Hwy. 187, even onto a stretch without flooding. Further west along Hwy. 187, where it curves and goes uphill at CR 232, the force of the water in Butler Creek destroyed large portions of the Arkansas side of the CR 232 roadway, in 2011, at great cost to repair.

This year, earlier this month, once again the CR 233 bridge washed out when 10 inches of rain that fell overnight in Seligman, and along Butler Hollow made a torrent of Butler Creek, completely washing out Railway Winery along Hwy. 187 just west of CR 233 and again portions of CR 232 in Butler Hollow. This year, Butler Creek levels rose without any releases from Beaver Dam, once again spilling over onto Hwy. 187 and stranding residents. The highway was closed, as was the Beaver Bridge again for a short time.

As of this writing, several days ago some friends and I kayaked from Beaver Town up the Butler Creek arm, hoping to reach a long-established Great Blue Heron heronry, with 44 documented nests. (Please refer to Map Sheet #24 under Option #2.)

Within a very short distance up the creek, at the tip of the peninsula extending from a large area designated in green as "environmentally sensitive," we encountered massive amounts of stagnant water so thick we were not able to continue. In this "soup" of debris floated tree branches, logs, glass bottles, trash and appliances such as refrigerators, all washed down Butler Creek in the flooding earlier this month. Once another heavy rain occurs, this debris will flow out into the White River/Table Rock Lake, but when that will occur is anyone's guess. In the meantime, that stagnant pool of trash, sludge and debris is very close to the heronry and may affect the birds' nesting behaviors come next Spring as it could affect the quality of their drinking water and the health and survival of the fish and other aquatic animals in that section of the creek that they rely on to feed their young.

Although the "flowage easement" of Butler Creek, designated on Map Sheet #24, does not extend west to where County Road 233 crosses the creek and intersects Hwy. 187, it really should, and continue all the way up to Seligman, Missouri. Even a moderate rain causes this creek to flow with constant motion. All the runoff from the hills above it on either side of Hwy. 187 and from Butler Hollow (County Road 232), washes down into the creek and eventually finds its way into the White River at Beaver. Upstream, a gravel operation and several cow/calf operations have been a source of contaminants and have caused landowners downstream some problems, affecting aquatic species habitat and property boundary issues due to rechanneling of the creek from floodwaters.

As shown on Map Sheet #24, several areas in the Butler Creek arm and along the river shoreline south of Beaver are designated as "environmentally sensitive" or "low density recreation" on both sides of the river. One such area, shown on the west side of the river, where there is a break in the shoreline less than a mile from Butler Creek, with a small sandbar or island across from it, is where SWEPCO wants to bring across its transmission line. There is already a flowage easement at that location. The herbicide runoff from this transmission line corridor, not only from the steep hills above Butler Creek, but from the line as it approaches the White River directly, would flow into the river and toward Beaver town. It could potentially affect the drinking water wells of Beaver residents.

Another concern for those of us who live off CR 233 is the herbicides used for vegetation management along the cleared corridor. Those herbicides, including glyphosate, which has been shown in a recent peer-reviewed study published in June to cause breast cancer at extremely low concentrations, will mostly likely run down the hill and into the drinking water wells of the homes along CR 233, including mine. As a cancer survivor, I am extremely concerned about this potential contamination of my drinking water. One of the other homes uses a natural spring as its drinking water source, and this spring will likely be contaminated by herbicide runoff.

In the Corps' master plan, there is mention of a possible future public water supply coming from Table Rock Lake. If

the White River/Table Rock Lake is crossed at Beaver, the crossing will likely be upstream of any water treatment plant. What will be the cost to remove the chemical cocktail that will wash down as runoff into the river from SWEPCO's transmission line corridor? Remember, it will not only be runoff from the water crossing location, but from all along Hwy. 187 where the runoff will flow into Butler Creek and down into the White River at Beaver.

The area where SWEPCO wants to cross the White River has been identified by local river-front landowners at citizens' meetings as an area breeding ground for a species of mussel found nowhere else in the world. The impacts to this species could be devastating. The Corps needs to make a careful study of this area. There are other species impacted here as well, such as Bald Eagles, Indiana Bats and others. These species have recently been identified in the SWEPCO hearings taking place in Little Rock this week, but SWEPCO's EIS makes little mention of them.

Aesthetics and Socioeconomic Impacts:

Tourism is the only industry the greater Eureka Springs area has, and the town of Beaver, with its one-lane swinging bridge, its walking trail (along a historic stagecoach route and railroad bed), and its Corps of Engineers-leased campground, is a vital part of this industry.

The Beaver Bridge, the park, the river here and the bluffs across from the Beaver campground, as well as Hwy. 187 leaving Beaver and going through a scenic valley, including Butler Hollow, are highly popular tourist attractions, especially for many car and motorcycle clubs that visit every season. The area is so beautiful that two major motion pictures have been filmed here, "Elizabethtown" (starring Orlando Bloom), in which the Beaver Bridge was featured and and "Chrystal" (starring Billy Bob Thornton), portions of which were filmed in Butler Hollow. This area will be marred by the sight of 150-foot towers marching across the ridge and across the White River. The view of the transmission line from the Beaver Bridge will spoil the photographic and filmmaking opportunities of visitors and professional entertainment outfits.

Cultural resources:

The area in segment AL with specific regard to the town of Beaver, the valley of Hwy. 187 between Beaver and CR 232 and the area where SWEPCO wants to cross the White River all have cultural significance. The town of Beaver, specifically at the Beaver Bridge crossing, is rich with history, including Civil War history and earlier, with a crossing there of the ill-fated Fancher wagon train families who met their end at the Mountain Meadows Massacre in Utah, and which today is commemorated by descendents of the survivors.

The riverbed at and near Beaver has also produced thousands of arrowheads and other indigenous Native artifacts. The area where SWEPCO wishes to cross the river is purported to have a shell mound dating back to Native use.

Hwy. 187 through the valley was the route of the stagecoach line and later, the railroad, and the train trestle crossing at the Butler Creek arm of the White River can still be seen today, along with the iron train bridge from the turn of the century. This area is rich in the history of Carroll County, and many do not understand that the area around Beaver, including "Brooklyn," and Elk Ranch, had thriving communities at one time and great historical significance.

It is clear from the documents SWEPCO submitted in their application process, that they failed to acknowledge or perhaps did not know the extent of the impacts Route 33/109 could have in this area.

For all the reasons stated above, I support the Corp's Option #2 for this area of the White River and Table Rock Lake, and support the proposed designations of "environmentally sensitive" and "low density recreation" as shown on Map Sheet #24.

Furthermore, I am asking the Corps of Engineers to contact the Arkansas Public Service Commission immediately in writing to deny the selection of Route 33/109 for SWEPCO's transmission line. That route would be in direct conflict with the stated goals of the Corps' preferred choice of Option #2. After this week, APSC judge Connie Griffin has 60 days to make a recommendation on SWEPCO's proposal. Please tell her that you will deny this route to SWEPCO because of the potentially devastating impacts on the watershed, the water crossing and the cultural, economic, aesthetic and recreational resources at this location.

Thank you, US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 1:23 PM

To:

Coburn, Dana O SWL

Subject:

FW: [EXTERNAL] Little Rock District Contact Form: New Table Rock Lake shoreline plan

(UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Dana:

Please include the below in our received comments. Thanks

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Contact Form: New Table Rock Lake shoreline plan

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

L

I have had a cabin for over 30 years on from US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b) ending on the water across from boat dock. I have no boat dock.

My concerns are the same as those of many others. Spring rains bring trees,logs,trash, etc. down the James and onto the property and the access to the lake below my cabin. With the potential inability to clear this tangle of trash and trees, the shoreline would become an unsightly mess. This does nothing for the aesthetics of the lake or the individual properties in my area.

We hope that a conservative plan will be chosen which will not downgrade our properties or the beauty of Table Rock Lake.

Thank you!

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 1:50 PM

To:

Coburn, Dana O SWL

Subject:

FW: [EXTERNAL] Little Rock District Contact Form: Draft Alternative 2 Plates...

(UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Please see below

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 9:49 AM

To: CESWL-PA SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Little Rock District Contact Form: Draft Alternative 2 Plates...

This message was sent from the Little Rock District website.

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Message:

Strongly disapprove with draft alternative 2 plates. Approve of draft 2D

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 01:24 PM Central Standard Time

To: US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: Comment on Table Rock Lake Master Plan Update

Thank you, for your reading of my fax and your attempt to reach me so that you could have a complete sentence that was somehow eliminated from my original transmission.

The complete statement is as follows: The meeting I attended in Kimberling City was a marketing fiasco not worthy of the corps.

Incidentally, after sending this fax, a conversation occurred with other people who were at the US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Those two people instantly concurred with my conclusion

that the meet and greet was actually a ploy for deniability concerning the corps and its desire to


communicate with the area's residents. No one that I have spoken with believes that you are truly open to the wishes of the citizens of the area.

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Thank you for your comments regarding the Table Rock Lake Master Plan Update. We did receive your fax. However it was only one page and it appeared the last sentence was cutoff after: "The meeting I attend in Kimberling City...."

If you had additional information and a second page, please resend. Alternatively, you can send an email response to me and/or M4TRMP@usace.army.mil

We do value your input. Thank you.



Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 10:16 PM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Comment on draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan

Attachments:

TableRockRevisedMasterPlan.pdf

Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil , Website:

No. of the

Please PRINT.
US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)
E-mail: _
Please circle the number that best represents your opinion of the Preferred Alternative – Alternative 2 "Balanced Use":
1) 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Strongly Strongly Disapprove Approve
What are the most important factors that affect your opinion? Being able to freely enjoy the use of our property without undue hazards and pests.
Other Comments WE ARE ONLY IN FAVOR OF ALTERNATIVE 1.
Alternative 2, entitled Balanced Use, is totally unacceptable. As a condo owner at Ancho Point we would be severely adversely affected by the 50-foot Vegetative Management
classification. A 50-foot buffer area that is allowed to over-grow with weeds and brush we
not only look bad but would also provide favorable conditions for pests such as disease
carrying ticks, venomous snakes, armadilios, skunks, possums, etc. This would decrease
our property value and possibly render our property unsalable.
Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch,

Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605,

Written comments must be postmarked, e-mailed, faxed, or otherwise submitted by August 30, 2013.

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 9:11 AM

To: Cc:

Subject: Attachments: IEXTERNAL US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Table Rock Comments

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Attached please find US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

USPINARY ARE SUSCESS Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment comment form

along with US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.
Please PRINT.
US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)
E-mail:
Please circle the number that best represents your opinion of the Preferred Alternative – Alternative 2 "Balanced Use":
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 (9) 10
Strongly Strongly Disapprove Approve
What are the most important factors that affect your opinion?
Other Comments Please see attached US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)
•

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil, Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Written comments must be postmarked, e-mailed, faxed, or otherwise submitted by August 30, 2013.

RESOLUTION NO. 2013-R3

1

A RESOLUTION SUBMITTING COMMENTS TO THE ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGARDING THE TABLE ROCK LAKE REVISED MASTER PLAN and ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

The Board of Commissioners of the Holiday Island Suburban Improvement District (HISID) hereby resolves as follows:

WHEREAS: The Board of Commissioners of the HISID recognize that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Little Rock District, is in the process of completing the Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment; and

WHEREAS: The Corps of Engineers has invited interested parties to submit comments of concern and interest regarding the impact of the Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment on their properties and surroundings; and

WHEREAS: The HISID Board of Commissioners recognizes certain features and areas of concern regarding the stated revisions to the Revised Master Plan; and

WHEREAS: The HISID Board of Commissioners submit the following comments to be incorporated as changes to or clarification of the Revised Master Plan in order to more adequately reflect the interests of the HISID.


NOW THEREFORE, the Board of Commissioners of the Holiday Island Suburban Improvement District does hereby resolve:

- Section 1. That the area on Map Sheet Index No. 19, commonly known as the "Point," and specifically located at the terminus of Bandy Drive and Shields Drive, Holiday Island, be classified as a High Density Recreation Use.
- Section 2. That the area on Map Sheet Index No. 20, referenced as Area 12, located due east of the Holiday Island Marina, be completely classified as High Density Recreation Use in order to accommodate future expansion and growth of the Holiday Island Marina.
- Section 3. That the area on Map Sheet Index No. 20 recognize the location of the Holiday Island Water and Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) and its accompanying outfall into Table Rock Lake and that no revisions to the Master Plan be adopted that would have a negative or limiting impact on the HISID's ability to accommodate future growth and development that will affect both the quantity and quality of the discharge emanating from the WWTP outside of the standard

Federal and State environmental regulations governing the operations of the WWTP.

Section 4. That the communities of the State of Arkansas not be omitted as potential users of Table Rock Lake as a future water source along with the states of Oklahoma, Kansas, and Missouri.

PASSED AND APPROVED ON THIS 26^{TH} DAY OF AUGUST, 2013.



Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 3:11 PM

To:

Subject:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b) -- Comment Table Rock Lake Master Plan (Revised)

Attachments:

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Please see the attached revised comment from US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b) US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)




TABLE ROCK LAKE REVISED MASTER PLAN AND ENVIRONMENT ASSESSMENT PUBLIC COMMENT (SUBMITTED AUGUST 28, 2013)

Name:

Still Waters Resort

Address:

21 Stillwater Trail

Branson, Missouri 65616

Phone:

417-338-2323

Resort Email:

info@stillwatersresort.com

Alternative 2 "Balanced Use" Opinion - See Written Explanation

Reviewing Alternative Two (as proposed as preferred) Still Waters asked several questions of the Corps of Engineers at the Public Meeting, August 14, 2013 at Dewey Shore Visitors Center. We learned that (a) the Corps suggested that the Northshore Lease Area where the Still Waters Marina is currently located be designated a high-density recreation area since the resort limited lease program needs to take into account the requests of our existing registered guests and visiting public at a destination resort; and (b) that the area north of Heidler's Laz-E-Daz subdivision was proposed changed from low-density recreation to environmentally sensitive. We were informed that the Corps was not aware that this area was owned by Still Waters Resort since 1982, zoned commercial and is the future expansion area for Still Waters Resort.

Based on the information we received from the Corps of Engineers, we feel we would have to strongly disapprove Alternative 2 "Balanced Use" as proposed since (a) the low density recreation area classification is too limited to serve our registered guests and visiting public as we expand; and (b) the area proposed to change from low-density recreation to environmentally sensitive will seriously impair any future growth of Still Waters Resort.

Still Waters would strongly approve Alternative 2 "Balanced Use" with the following changes:

(please see attached drawing)

- (1) Expand the proposed high-density recreation area on the Northshore Lease Area of Still Waters Resort (Docks D and E Still Waters Marina) to the entire current lease area including the area that was approved for two additional 20 slip docks;
- (2) Change the Southshore Lease Area (Docks A, B, C) from low-density recreation to high-density recreation and expand the high-density recreation area from the Still Waters Southshore Lease Area (Docks A, B, C) northwest along the shoreline heading north to a point just south of the first residential dock. We would then propose to expand our leased area to create a safer environment for our employees and registered guests as well as avoid any possible personal injury and congestion in the Northshore Area as Still Waters Resort grows;
- (3) The proposed environmentally sensitive area north of Heidler's Laz-E-Daz Subdivision, we would propose be classified as "high density recreation" for the portion that is adjacent to the property zoned commercial currently owned since 1982 and planned for future expansion by Still Waters Resort;

Other Comments:

- (1) Still Waters Resort supports the efforts of the Corps of Engineers to recognize that destination resorts like Still Waters on Table Rock Lake have challenges under current rules to satisfy registered nightly rental guests. The limitations on shoreline classification as well as the limits under the current Marina Buffer creates a negative impression by the visiting boating public who are confused by the rules imposed on resort limited leaseholders. Still Waters is a host interested in serving our registered guests needs.
- Our interest in high density recreation stems primarily from the fact that there are limitations currently on our ability to serve our registered nightly rental guests. The typical Table Rock Lake visitor is evolving and our registered nightly rental guests have commented that they would like to see at Still Waters Resort (a) parking lot improvements; (b) expanded beach areas; (c) additional free amenities (d) rest rooms at the Still Waters Marina; (e) hiking trails along the shoreline; (f) courtesy docks; (g) additional nightly rental slips within view and walking distance of their rentals and (h) boat ramp improvements. These are items that have or would have been denied under a low-density recreation designation in a marina buffer zone. Still Waters Resort has an obligation to our employees, our community and our county to fulfill the needs of our registered nightly rental guests.

We are fortunate the public marinas in the 3 road miles on Indian Point run a professional business and our guest as well as theirs stimulate each others business. Still Waters Resort and its registered guest are being denied requests due to the introduction of the marina buffer zone and shoreline land classification. Still Waters intent is only to cater to the needs of our registered nightly guest.

Still Waters Resort also recognizes that public marinas cater to the general public and that the proposed changes might be viewed negatively by those business owners. To clarify our intentions, Still Waters Resort only wants to cater to the needs of our registered nightly rental guests. The vast majority of our customers vacation 1-2 times a year spending thousands of taxable dollars in our community. The Still Waters Resort business model primarily introduces families to Branson, the Ozark Mountains and casual boating on Table Rock Lake. Once a former guest buys a vacation home or becomes a year round boater these former registered nightly rental guests become the long-term customers of a public marina.

Our registered nightly rental guest consistently state a preference to bring their families and boats to Table Rock Lake and want to be able to do all their water activities from one location. The registered nightly rental guest trailers an expensive boat, wants to be able to launch and park their boat in a nightly rental slip in full view of the rental unit they are staying in with a launch ramp and adequate boat trailer accommodations. Still Waters Resort sees this situation all year long with registered nightly rental guests. For example, a registered nightly rental guest staying in the South Shore accommodation want a South Shore Lease Area boat slip (Docks A-C).

SMR Future Expansion, SWR Future Nem xplaintal on SVIR Northshore Buildings (-L irea. Stylkt Soudhah one Byllidings A-III Southshore Lesse Area

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 3:47 PM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Cc:

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Subject:

[EXTERNAL]

Attachments:

TableRockDraftMasterPlan_and_DraftEA&FONSI_

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b) 0130829.PDF

Ms. Coburn,

Attached is a PDF copy of Southwestern Power Administration's letter with comments on the draft Table Rock Lake Master Plan revision and the draft Environmental Assessment and FONSI. The original letter will be sent via U.S. Postal Service tomorrow, Friday August 30, 2013.

We appreciate the additional opportunity to provide input during the master plan update process. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Thanks,



Department of Energy

Southwestern Power Administration One West Third Street Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103-3502

AUG 28 2013

Ms. Dana Coburn
Chief, Environmental Branch
Planning and Environmental Division
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Little Rock District
P.O. Box 867
Little Rock, AR 72203

Dear Ms. Coburn,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide input on the draft update to the Table Rock Lake Master Plan dated July 2013 (draft Master Plan) and the draft Environmental Assessment dated July 2013 (draft EA). As the Federal agency responsible for scheduling and marketing the hydroelectric power and energy from the Table Rock project, Southwestern Power Administration (Southwestern) has the following comments on the draft Master Plan and draft EA. Please find Southwestern's specific comments regarding the draft Master Plan and draft EA detailed in the enclosure.

As stated in Southwestern's June 8, 2013, comments on the preliminary draft Master Plan, it is imperative that updates made to the Master Plan not negatively impact hydroelectric power operations at the Table Rock project. Hydroelectric power is one of the two original Congressionally authorized purposes of the project, and Southwestern applies revenues collected each year toward repayment of the U.S. taxpayers' investment, plus interest, in the Table Rock project facilities. The Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-303, Section 304) that authorized additional project purposes at Table Rock maintained that those additional purposes should not adversely affect the originally authorized project purposes, including power generation. Therefore, other project uses should not receive additional benefits to the detriment of hydroelectric power.

Additionally, as discussed in Chapter 1 Introduction, section f. Pertinent Project Information (pages 1-5 and 1-6), and as shown in Figure 1-1 (page 1-10) of the draft Master Plan, other lake users should be made aware in the Master Plan update that lake levels will fluctuate, sometimes drastically, depending on a variety of factors, including rainfall (or lack thereof), flood control operations, and power demand. The draft Master Plan does an excellent job of making that point. After approval of the Master Plan, developers should continue to be informed of these routine and sometimes significant fluctuations prior to the construction of additional facilities in or around Table Rock Lake.

Finally, Southwestern supports efforts to improve the water quality at Table Rock Lake. In addition to improving recreation and fish habitat, increased water quality has a positive impact on the severity of dissolved oxygen (DO) conditions in the lake, which historically deteriorate during the months of July through November. As a member of the White River DO Committee,

Southwestern participates in the annual development of the Table Rock Operational Action Plan cited in the draft Master Plan and executes voluntary operational management measures to increase the DO concentration in power generation releases. Improved DO in the lake will benefit the downstream trout fishery while allowing Southwestern to maintain operational flexibility at the Table Rock project during the low DO season. Southwestern is pleased with the Corps' inclusion of additional details on operational management measures as well as a brief discussion of the importance of a watershed approach to water quality in Chapter 2.

Southwestern appreciates the many opportunities to provide input for the Master Plan update. If you have any questions or comments, please contact US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b) US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Sincerely,

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

2 Enclosures

Southwestern Power Administration (Southwestern)
Specific Comments on
White River Watershed
Arkansas and Missouri
White River
Table Rock Lake

Draft Master Plan for Development and Management of Table Rock Lake, July 2013 (provided by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Little Rock District for public comment by August 30, 2013)

(Note: Paragraphs are numbered from the beginning of the referenced section or sub-section)

- 1. Page 1-5, Chapter 1 Introduction, f. Pertinent Project Information, ninth paragraph, fifth sentence. Suggest changing "942 feet m.s.l." to "942 above mean sea level (m.s.l.)" to define m.s.l. in its first instance of use.
- 2. Page 1-8, Chapter 1 Introduction, TABLE 1-1, Pertinent Data of The Dam and Lake. Suggest labeling "FC flood control, P power" as Note 1, as that is how it is referenced in General Information, Purpose Stream States.
- 3. Page 2-3, Chapter 2 Project Setting and Factors Influencing Management and Development (Existing Conditions), d. Water Quality, second paragraph, third sentence. The sentence states "Four 50-MW generating units provide approximately 640,000 MWh annually." 640,000 MWh is not a value that Southwestern is familiar with as an average or expected annual energy amount for Table Rock. The estimated annual energy (based on Corps studies, energy production expected under average hydrologic conditions) for Table Rock is 495,000 MWh, and the actual average for the period 1962-2012 has been 502,846 MWh. Southwestern recommends using the estimated annual energy of 495,000 MWh.
- 4. Page 2-7. Please note that following page 2-7 (containing Figure 2-2), the page number reverts to 2-0 on the next page and incorrect numbering continues for the remaining pages in the chapter. Please correct.
- 5. Page 2-3 (second instance), Chapter 2 Project Setting and Factors Influencing Management and Development (Existing Conditions), h. Resource Analysis (level 1 inventory data), (2) Vegetative Resources, second paragraph, last sentence. Please specify the units for the referenced block of land gained by the Corps in 1999. The draft EA has an identical section on page 4-8 (4.4 Terrestrial Resources and Land Use) that contains two additional sentences after "approximately 3,300." If appropriate, please include the remaining sentences in the draft Master Plan as well.
- 6. Page 2-7 (second instance), Chapter 2 Project Setting and Factors Influencing Management and Development (Existing Conditions), h. Resource Analysis (level 1 inventory data), (5) Ecological Setting, *Terrain*, third sentence. The sentence states

- elevations in meters and incorrectly defines m.s.l. as "meters above sea level." Suggest converting to feet above mean sea level to be consistent with the rest of the document and correctly utilize "m.s.l."
- 7. Page 2-11, Chapter 2 Project Setting and Factors Influencing Management and Development (Existing Conditions), j. Demographics, Table 2-3 Race and Ethnicity by State for the Table Rock Lake Zone of Influence, 2010 (percent). The column for Total in ZOI appears to be calculated by taking the average of percents for each individual state, which is incorrect. The total percentage must be weighted by the population for each state. For instance, if 8 out of 10 people (80%) are under age 5 in population A, and 200 out of 1,000 people (20%) in population B are under age 5, the total percentage of people under age 5 in population AB is not 50% (the average of 80% and 20%). The total percentage is 208 out of 1,010 people, which is 20.6%. Please correct the data in the Total in ZOI column, or remove the column from the table.
- 8. Page 2-12, Chapter 2 Project Setting and Factors Influencing Management and Development (Existing Conditions), j. Demographics, Table 2-4 Age and Education by State for the Table Rock Zone of Influence, 2010 (percent). See comment 7 above. Please correct the data for Total in ZOI, or remove the column from the table.
- 9. Page 2-21, Chapter 2 Project Setting and Factors Influencing Management and Development (Existing Conditions), l. Recreation Facilities, Activities, and Needs, (6) Recreation Analysis, Arkansas SCORP Data (2008-2013), first paragraph, fourth sentence. The statement that driving is "still popular as a way to view and enjoy the beauty of the natural landscape" is not consistent with the Recent Poll results provided in Table 2-6 Popular Outdoor Activities, as "driving for pleasure" is not listed. Suggest reviewing the information presented and ensuring accuracy.
- 10. Page 2-22, Chapter 2 Project Setting and Factors Influencing Management and Development (Existing Conditions), I. Recreation Facilities, Activities, and Needs, (6) Recreation Analysis, Arkansas SCORP Data (2008-2013), second paragraph, first and fourth sentence. Again, the sentences reference "driving" and "interest in driving for pleasure" but that statement is not supported by the Recent Poll results provided in Table 2-6 Popular Outdoor Activities, as "driving for pleasure" is not listed. Suggest reviewing the information presented and ensuring accuracy.
- 11. Page 6-1, Chapter 6 Special Topics/Issues/Considerations, a. Sedimentation. This section is the only section in the chapter with an alphanumeric reference, i.e. "a." Suggest removing "a." before Sedimentation, or continuing the alphanumeric references throughout the chapter.
- 12. Page 6 -4, Chapter 6 Special Topics/Issues/Considerations, Water Management and Flood Risk Management, second paragraph, second sentence. Suggest changing "Congress ordered the Corps of Engineers to build" to "Congress authorized the Corps of Engineers to build," since not all projects authorized for the White River basin were actually built.

- 13. Page 6-5, Chapter 6 Special Topics/Issues/Considerations, Water Management and Flood Risk Management, fourth paragraph, ninth sentence. It appears as though the discussion of Congress authorizing hydroelectric power generation on five of the White River projects should begin a new paragraph. Suggest inserting a space after "...is a risk that each landowner accepts." and beginning a new paragraph with "When Congress instructed the Corps to build..."
- 14. Page 6-5, Chapter 6 Special Topics/Issues/Considerations, Water Management and Flood Risk Management, fifth paragraph, last sentence. Suggest adding 2012 to the drought years, as is done in the eighth Water Management and Flood Risk Management paragraph, third sentence.
- 15. Page 6-5, Chapter 6 Special Topics/Issues/Considerations, Water Management and Flood Risk Management, eighth paragraph, first sentence. To clarify the meaning of the sentence and to emphasize that power generation is the primary release method for hydropower projects, suggest modifying the sentence to read "Rainfall amounts and consumer electricity demand are the keys that dictate the releases from a White River dam, which are made primarily through power generation, and, if needed, through spillway gates or conduits."
- 16. Page 6-6, Chapter 6 Special Topics/Issues/Considerations, Water Management and Flood Risk Management, eighth paragraph, ninth sentence. Suggest modifying the sentence to read "a minimum release requirement to ensure survival of fish species downstream during the warm months."
- 17. General comment: Several Table and Figure numbers in Chapter 2 are incorrect. For example, there are two Tables 2-4, and while there is a Figure 2-5, there is not a Figure 2-4. Suggest ensuring that Tables and Figures numbers throughout the document are consecutive and not duplicated or skipped.
- 18. General comment: Many abbreviations are not defined on the first instance of use, and/or are used inconsistently throughout the document. For example, Southwestern Power Administration is first used on page 2-3 (first occurrence), but is not defined as "SWPA" until page 6-6. Suggest ensuring that abbreviations are defined after their first instance of use, and that the abbreviation is used throughout the remainder of the document.

Southwestern Power Administration (Southwestern) Specific Comments on Draft Environmental Assessment Draft Master Plan Revision Table Rock Lake, July 2013

(provided by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Little Rock District for public comment by August 30, 2013)

(Note: Paragraphs are numbered from the beginning of the referenced section or sub-section)

- 1. Page 2-1, Section 2, Purpose and Need for Action, 2.1 Purpose and Need, first paragraph, fourth sentence. Suggest deleting the word "growth" after population, since it was the actual population, not the population growth rate that increased 14.4% from 2000 to 2012, as supported by data from Table 4.2: Population Trends.
- 2. Pages 3-3 through 3-5, Section 3, Alternatives. There are several confusing statements and inconsistencies with Table 3.1: Change in Land Classification per Alternatives, which are enumerated below. Please review the data and correct or clarify as needed.
 - a. 3.2 Balanced-Use (Alternative 2) the section states a proposed increase of 2,236 acres to Environmentally Sensitive lands, but Table 3.1 shows 2,237 acres.
 - b. 3.4 Maintain High Density (Alternative 2b) the section states that 74 acres would remain as High Density, as compared to Alternative 2, however the differences between the High Density classifications shown in Table 3.1 for Alternative 2 and 2b is only 14 acres. Please clarify where the additional 60 acres are being reallocated from.
 - c. 3.5 No New High Density (Alternative 2c) the section states that 95 acres, which are under consideration for being converted to High Density in Alternative 2, are kept as Low Density and Environmentally Sensitive in Alternative 2c. However, there is only a 2 acre increase in High Density areas between Alternative 2 and Alternative 1 (no change), so it is unclear where the additional 93 acres are from. Please clarify where the additional 93 acres are being reallocated from.
 - d. 3.7 Conservative (Alternative 3) the section states that the "current" High Density allocation is 1,986 acres. Section 3.1 and Table 3.1 both list the current allocation as 1,984 acres. Please correct the current allocation amount to 1,984 acres. The section also states that all "current" Low Density lands are reclassified to Environmentally Sensitive lands, resulting in a total of 14,146 acres of Environmentally Sensitive lands. While it is clear that land from other classifications must also be reallocated to reach the 14,146 acres, it also appears that these lands are reallocated from the proposed Alternative 2, not the "current"

- Alternative 1. Suggest clarifying from which alternative and which categories the additional Environmentally Sensitive lands are reallocated from.
- e. 3.8 Extreme Development (Alternative 4) the section states that 14,146 acres will be allocated to Low Density, however in Table 3.1 it appears that 80 acres are allocated to High Density, with the remaining 14,066 allocated to Low Density. Please correct the statement or Table 3.1 as necessary. Additionally, the section states 4,001 acres of Low Density will require a vegetative management area, which is inconsistent with the 3,915 acres shown in Table 3.1. Please correct the vegetative management area acreage in the section or in Table 3.1 as necessary.
- 3. Page 4-1, Section 4, Affected Environment, 4.2 Climate, Physiography, Topography, Geology, and Soils, first paragraph, seventh sentence. Suggest removing one instance of the word "average" from the sentence to avoid redundancy.
- 4. Page 4-5, Section 4, Affected Environment, 4.3 Aquatic Environment, *Water Quality*, first paragraph, first sentence. Suggest rewording the sentence to state: "Table Rock Lake has been listed by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) on Missouri's 303(d) List of impaired waters, approved by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), due to excessive nutrient concentrations..." as the 303(d) List is developed by each state according to the Clean Water Act Section 303(d), and submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for approval, and is considered the state's 303(d) List. This is consistent with the wording in the draft Master Plan.
- 5. Page 4-5, Section 4, Affected Environment, 4.3 Aquatic Environment, *Water Quality*, second paragraph, seventh sentence. Since adding liquid oxygen is only one of many measures taken to increase the dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration in hydropower releases, suggest modifying the sentence to state: "To combat this problem, the dissolved oxygen content is monitored and various management measures are implemented to improve the dissolved oxygen concentration in the hydropower releases." This is consistent with the wording in the draft Master Plan.
- 6. Pages 4-5 and 4-6, Section 4, Affected Environment, 4.3 Aquatic Environment, *Water Quality*, third and fourth paragraphs. Suggest switching the order of the paragraphs to aid understanding of the low DO season and to be consistent with the draft Master Plan.
- 7. Page 4-6, Section 4, Affected Environment, 4.3 Aquatic Environment, *Water Quality*., fourth paragraph, third sentence. The sentence states "Four 50-MW generating units provide approximately 640,000 MWh annually." 640,000 MWh is not a value that Southwestern is familiar with as an average or expected annual energy amount for Table Rock. The estimated annual energy (based on Corps studies, energy production expected under average hydrologic conditions) for Table Rock is 495,000 MWh, and the actual average for the period 1962-2012 has been 502,846 MWh. Southwestern recommends using the estimated annual energy of 495,000 MWh.
- 8. Pages 4-13 and 4-14, Section 4, Affected Environment, 4.8 Socio Economic Resources. The "Total" data reported for all five counties in Tables 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 uses incorrect

statistical analysis methods. The total values reported for percentage data (e.g. Average Household Size (owner occupied) in Table 4.3) appear to simply be averages of the county data. A total percentage must be weighted by the population for each county. For example, if 8 out of 10 people (80%) are below the poverty level in population A, and 200 out of 1,000 people (20%) in population B are below the poverty level, the total percentage of people in poverty in population AB is not 50% (the average of 80% and 20%). The total percentage is 208 out of 1,010 people, which is 20.6%. Since the population of each county is relatively similar, this error should not greatly affect the outcome; however, Southwestern recommends using the appropriate analysis techniques or removing the "total" data from the draft EA.

Similarly, the total values reported for median data (e.g. Median Income in Table 4.4) appear to be an average of the median value for the five counties. The correct median income is the middle value of the entire set of data. Even taking the median value, rather than the average, of five other median values will not yield the correct result. It is impossible to know how much this error affects the outcome. Southwestern suggests using appropriate analysis techniques, or removing the "total" data from the draft EA.

- 9. Page 5-17, Section 5, Environmental Consequences, 5.5 Archaeological and Historical Resources, 5.5.7. Conservation (Alternative 3). Suggest changing "Conservation" in the title of section 5.5.7. to "Conservative" to be consistent with the rest of the document.
- 10. Page 5-20, Section 5, Environmental Consequences, 5.7 Recreation Resources, 5.7.5. New High Density (Alternative 2c). Suggest changing "New High Density" to "No New High Density" to be consistent with the rest of the document.
- 11. Page 5-20, Section 5, Environmental Consequences, 5.7 Recreation Resources, 5.7.7. Conservative (Alternative 3), first sentence. Suggest capitalizing Alternative 3 so the sentence reads "Under the Alternative 3..."
- 12. Page 5-21, Section 5, Environmental Consequences, 5.7 Recreation Resources, 5.7.8. Extreme Development (Alternative 4), third sentence. Suggest inserting a period after "... recreational threat" and beginning a new sentence with "Although this alternative..."
- 13. Page 5-26, Section 5, Environmental Consequences, 5.10 Aesthetics, 5.10.7. Conservative (Alternative 3), second sentence. Suggest changing "be n hindrance" to "be a hindrance."
- 14. Page 5-27, Section 5, Environmental Consequences, 5.11 Cumulative Impacts, fourth paragraph, fourth sentence. The sentence states "...habitat and productivity, and will...results in." Suggest removing the "s" on results for verb agreement.
- 15. General comment: Many abbreviations are not defined on the first instance of use, and/or are used inconsistently throughout the document, and/or are defined multiple times. For example, Southwestern Power Administration is defined twice on page 4-6, and National Ambient Air Quality Standards are defined repeatedly on page 5-21, and PDT is never defined. Suggest ensuring that abbreviations are defined after their first instance of use, and that the abbreviation is used throughout the remainder of the document.

- 16. Appendix A, Draft Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), Alternatives. There are several confusing statements and inconsistencies with draft EA Table 3.1: Change in Land Classification per Alternatives, which are enumerated below. Please review the data and correct or clarify as needed.
 - a. Slow Growth Alternative it appears as though the Low Density acreage increase is referenced from Alternative 2, and not the "current" Alternative 1. Suggest clarifying which alternative(s) is(are) being referenced.
 - b. Maintain High Density Alternative the section states that 74 acres would remain as High Density, as compared to Alternative 2, however the differences between the High Density classifications shown in draft EA Table 3.1 for Alternative 2 and 2b is only 14 acres. Please clarify where the additional 60 acres are being reallocated from.
 - c. Conservative Alternative the section states that 1,914 and 14,138 acres will be allocated to the High and Low Density recreation uses, respectively, while the draft EA Table 3.1 states that there will be 1,906 and 14,146 for each use.
 - d. Extreme Development Alternative the section states that 1,997 and 14,055 acres will be allocated to the High and Low Density recreation uses, respectively, while the draft EA Table 3.1 states that there will be 1,986 and 14,066 for each use.
 - e. Preferred Alternative, Balanced Use Alternative the section states a proposed increase of 2,236 acres to Environmentally Sensitive lands, but draft EA Table 3.1 shows 2,237 acres. Additionally, at the end of the first sentence, the section states that 7,179 acres remains as Low Density recreation, which is inconsistent with draft EA Table 3.1 as well as a statement earlier in the sentence.
- 17. Appendix A, Draft Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), Anticipated Environmental Impacts, first sentence. Suggest adding the words "in" and "it" to the sentence as follows: "In consideration of the effects disclosed in the EA, and a finding that they are not significant, it is necessary to prepare a FONSI."
- 18. Appendix A, Draft Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), Anticipated Environmental Impacts, Impact Topic 9, first sentence. The sentences states "...areas with known T&E species...was classified..." Suggest changing "was" to "were" for subject-verb agreement.

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 9:07 AM

To: Cc: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Subject: Attachments: [EXTERNAL] Table Rock Lake Master Plan Comments Form Replies TABLE ROCK LAKE MASTER PLAN RESPONSE FORMS Scan.pdf

Dear Dana Coburn,

Here are 2 response forms for the Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment, as provided the public.

We are sending these BEFORE the August 30th deadline.

Sincerely,




Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

	Comm	ents m	ust be	nd it ba submitt	ck later	to USAC	E at the 0, 2013.	address	es belov	v.				- 10 10110	
	Please	PRINT											2006200		
	Your N Addres	lan ss:	JS	F	Priv	/a	СУ	A	ct	5	US	SC	55	2 (
	E-mail:	-													
	the Pre	circie	the nu	mber t	hat bes	t repre	sents yo	our opi	nion of						
(1)	2.	3	4	- Altern 5	ative 2	"Balan	ced Use	e": 9	10					
	Strongl Disapp		\supset						s	trongly					
/. - -	1) 1	No No	infe	mporta 50' clair	ant fact	nat.	t affect	2 /	Tester	e m	anag	e men evelog	t arou	ind l	ah
-	Other C	omme	ents	0.0	} ,,	, 5		1				, , ,	······································		
	sui G	der	irn	mer	regr	es al	tion	s dy	- or	uern u li	ed b	I ne	o my	Maro	,
_	a A	1.	JA C	ke !	is to	enor	m 16	Son HIT	No	ber W!	inty 1	and	offer AD	7	
7		Sor Le	acta	tim Can	ade	and	we	kin	trol	The	the o	hores w n	ine J	trees	
_		J.	day goin	pres ing !	get vess	Jon els	loat on	have	20 1	ve 8	possion to	ly	lemet,	the	/
		2	1	0						7		7	CHO)	gares	

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil, Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Written comments must be postmarked, e-mailed, faxed, or otherwise submitted by August 30, 2013.

Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below. Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

Please PRINT.

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)
E-mail:
Please circle the number that best represents your opinion of the Preferred Alternative – Alternative 2 "Balanced Use":
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Strongly Strongly Disapprove Approve
What are the most important factors that affect your opinion? The Don't need more
government requirements.
Other Comments Our labe is nice the may it is . I don't
see that it needs to be Chringed
- Carriage 4
·

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil, Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Written comments must be postmarked, e-mailed, faxed, or otherwise submitted by August 30, 2013.

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 10:18 PM
To: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment

Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

After careful consideration, I strongly disapprove of Alternative 2 "Balanced Use". At the present time, I chose Alternative 1 - No Action.

My concerns are for the decrease in the amount of low density and the increase in the amount of high density. The low density areas are where there is private property and, therefore, people who have a vested interest in the quality and condition of the lake. The high density areas would mainly be people who are here for a short time and without much concern for the environment and tranquility of the lake. Expanding the high density brings in more large and loud boats that will not remain in the high density area but because of congestion will venture out to the low density areas. We already have a certain amount of this.

The treed shoreline is quite pleasing and a noise buffer, so I don't want to see that go.

None of the Alternatives are ideal. There needs to be a balanced approach, but I don't believe your recommended Alternative 2 is the answer.

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 10:03 PM

To: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Table Rock Lake Master Plan: attn. Dana

Please consider Plan 1 for Table Rock Lake. I strongly disapprove of Plan 2 which would make the shoreline less beautiful and harbor ticks, snakes and other grievous creatures. Please leave the shoreline as it is at present. I reside on the lake and abide by all the shoreline rules. My subdivision has a state of the art sewage system including phosphorous control. I feel that improving water quality by stronger control of septic systems is more important

than Plan 2 changes. US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Thank you for your consideration.

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 9:21 PM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Table Rock Lake Master Plan Revision

would like to thank all who have worked on the proposals as we viewed them at the Corp office two weeks ago at one of the public meetings. It is very apparent that many people have put in a lot of work to prepare for the public comment sessions.

Our main concerns focus on just a few points. We do not want this lake to become another Lake of the Ozarks in terms of water quality, noise and the proliferation of large boats jeopardizing the family focus, small boat (maybe max 28 foot?) emphasis that we enjoy today. We would support limiting residential, but ESPECIALLY commercial slip permits to a certain number, and none should be longer than 28 feet in length.

After a lot of discussion we would ask that you end up selecting Alternative 2c as the final Master Plan Revision.

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 9:20 PM
To: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tablerock Lake

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b) as property owners on TableRock lake, wish to vote for our preference of plan 2C on your proposed revision of the master plan for the lake. We are especially concerned as our property literally borders the area you propose to rezone as commercial. I would have thought you would not even consider this plan after watching the totally environmental disaster of the Lake of the Ozarks. Please this beautiful lake become the next Lake of the Ozarks. I know there is pressure from those individuals who stand to gain financially from such a change but please stand strong and preserve this treasured and beautiful lake.

Sincerely,

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 9:18 PM

To: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Table Rock Revised Master Plan Comment

Attachments: 08292013181741.pdf

Please find my enclosed comment to your master plan for Table Rock Lake.

Attention Dana Coburn

Chief Environmental Branch

Planning and Environmental

USACE Little Rock District

P. O. Box 867

Little Rock, AR 72203

It may be easier to read this typed so I will respond with both.

I am a property owner, taxpayer and citizen. I have owned property at Table Rock since 1985.

I am adamantly against what is presented as "balanced use" and I strongly disapprove of this approach and proposal.

I am against deploying the Army to enforce a 50' vegetation plan anywhere and certainly not on Table Rock Lake.

This purpose has nothing to do with flood control, power generation or recreation.

You should not take any action to limit existing docks or redline areas that are in place.

Businesses that pay the taxes for the Army's funding cannot say to commerce lets stop everything for a year and think things through.

As a current plan expires fiscally a new one has already been thought through and put into action. Holding up all progress on the lake in a time out

for a year is offensive, cavalier and shows total disregard for many of the businesses around the lake.

I own several houses and lots around the lake and do not want the Army to damage the value of the hard earned dollars and work I have put into them over the 30 plus years.

As a country and citizen we own hundreds of millions of acres of federally protected land managed by a variety of federal agencies begging to be sold off or traded as it is unneeded

And the county has no means to pay to maintain it. It would be a waste of my and your tax dollar to try and add further land and management cost unnecessarily.

We currently have nearly a 17 trillion dollar debt and every agency federal regulatory body including the Army must cut back its spending and concentrate on its primary

Missions not add frivolous waste. This is a clear duplication of the forestry and interior department's this is not the mission of the Army.

Table Rock has a fragile economic community and I saw nothing in the plan that indicated a concern for local commerce and business health that are required to sustain even the most minimum tax base in a devastatingly poor Stone county. Alternative 2 is not preferred by any property or business owner I have spoken with.

I can only support plan 2-D




Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

Please PRINT.

Your Addr	Na ess	5	P	'rı\	/a	Cy	A	Ct	5	US		552	2 (
E-ma	il:												
				hat best - Altern									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10				
Stron Disap	gly prove								trongly pprove				
								pinion?					
	ous and	hous Re	01	the jot t ive ven	ake		act.	1001	10 mil	o' Vec; try ex, nhe de	stay	docks	er,
Other	Comme DAN WE PNO + C Stn + h:	ents dei fec Ad	Hed hed Fun	100 100 100 100 William	30 6 Cz	Jest J. M. Z. J 16 16	t m	ons ons Mul	36 4 60	N de	of F	My denal dollar allre	ly saly
	Pro	g Eg	Koc 171	0+ :	take e				osia		jobs	unity SAND	
	L C	20	07	<u>'</u>	sup,	port	PI	INV.	2-0				

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil, Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Written comments must be postmarked, e-mailed, faxed, or otherwise submitted by August 30, 2013.

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 8:20 PM CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] PLEASE: NO FIFTY FOOT BUFFER!

Re: Table Rock Lake Master Plan

We live on you have developed.

We STRONGLY disagree with the plan which calls for a 50 foot buffer believing it would only become a haven for snakes, tics and other pests. Not to mention the concern we have for the safety and health of our grandchildren and family members who would have no choice but to enter into this 'danger zone' in order to get access to the lake from our home.

We therefore support Alternative #1 - No Change.

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 7:33 PM

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWLUS Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

To: Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Document - Aug 29, 2013

Attachments:

Doc - Aug 29, 2013, 7-31 PM.pdf; ATT00002.txt

Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.ormy.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.pspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

nail:											
2	3	4	5	6	7	2	9	10			
ongly								Strongty			
approve								Approve		1	
at are th	e most i	mport	ant fact	ors tha	t affect	your o	pinion	? <u> </u>	pppa	2 2	D only
er Comm	(Na	7- 7	I A So HC C	1 B mps	ppgr J Otto) - D	DA AC COA AC COA AC	1 An		Eps Stee - Arand That You Dick O
er Comm		76 , 7 He	I A So HC C	1 B	pport street	1 ph	Ve Ve Not of	to the total of th		ACTIVI	FRONT YOUR A
er Comm NOT THE PROLI PLA-		78- , 70 He Zoo	I A So WK B UM UM	1 B mps	5000 To Show	2 9 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	No C	ecotus prac prac ex ex	Aly	ACTIVI	Price A
Property Pro	CNa ME LINC AL	TE, TO	I A SON	mps And TH TLAT	Show Show	2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 /	D-D War with	CONTACT OF ALL	Aly	ACTIVI ACTIVI ACT	Price A
NOT THE PROMI	CNa ME LINC AL	TE THE Zoo Ac	1/25 1/25 1/25	mps And TH TLAT	Show Show		No C	to de la constante de la const	Aly	ACTIVI ACTIVI ACT	Price of
NOT THE PROMI	CNa ME LINC AL	76 , 7 He Zas Ac	1/25 1/25 1/25	mps And TH TLAT	Show Show	a pa	No C	ecotus prac ex ex ex	Aly	ACTIVI ACTIVI ACT	Price of
NOT THE PROMI	CNa ME LINC AL	TE He Zos Ac Dan	1/25 1/25 1/25	mps And TH TLAT	Show Show	i pro	No C	to de la constante de la const	Aly	ACTIVI ACTIVI ACT	Price A
NOT THE PROPERTY REAL	CNa ME LINC AL	TE He Zos Ac Dan	1/25 1/25 1/25	mps And TH TLAT	Show Show	i propried to the second secon	No C	to de la constante de la const	Aly	ACTIVI ACTIVI ACT	Property of Acres of
Prop	CNa ME LINC AL	TE THE Zoo	1/25 1/25 1/25	mps And TH TLAT	Show Show	1 pm	No C	to de la constante de la const	Aly	ACTIVI ACTIVI ACT	Proce of Acres of

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Orief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Uttle Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Uttle Rock, AR 77203. Fax: (501) 874-5605. Email: M4TRMP@usace.ormy.mil., Website:

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 6:29 PM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Master Plan Revision Email Address Attn. Dana Coburn

Ms. Coburn:

My name is and I am a resident of the US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b) where my husband and I reside year round. I am writing in regards to the Table Rock Master Plan. I want to express my concern for the options you have presented and feel the only reasonable option for our home would be Alternative 1. I feel this is the only viable option for the residents of Anchors Point Condominiums and anything other than this option would be a detriment to not only homeowners and lake area visitors, but also to the facade of the shoreline. Furthermore, additional overgrowth of vegetation along the suggested fifty foot buffer zone, will cause an over population of fleas, ticks, mice, mosquitos, snakes, and other harmful pests. The over population of insects and other pests are harmful to both humans and domestic pets. Once again, may I reiterate the only option to be considered should be Alternative 1. Thank you for your understanding and regards in this matter.

Sincerely, US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 5:31 PM
To: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Attn: Dana Coburn

Attachments: Corps.jpg

Please find our comment card regarding the Master Plan attached.

Thanks,

Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

Please PRINT

Your Addre	Nar ess:	S	P	riv	ac	;y	A	ct	5	US	SC	55	52	(b)
E-mai	l: <u>/</u> _													
							our opin							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10					
Stron Disap									trongly pprove					
What	are the	most i	mporta	ant fact	ors that	affect	t your o	pinion?	S					
		-												
Other	Comme	ents	Alt	-ern	ativ	1 C	20	d;	5 77	he	mos	+ 0	lesii	cable
ple	n.	Th	e	501	but	Fee	- W	ould	m	ake	mo	rving	90	Pock
a	P	rob!	em	,	413	50	the	501	bu-	Her	WO.	uld	Cre	ate
a		"5n	ake	PI	711		WI	47/c	<u>y</u>	04	59Y	H	at.	2d
WO	uld	ac	lve	rset	y a	44	°c+	qe	sthe	e.ti	cs,	We	WOG.	10
di	'sa	910	e_	th	e	50	1/ /	buti	res-	WOU	10	not	img	prove
a	05+	hes	Zic s	5 6	and	/	land.	wn	ers	NO	uld	100	50	the;
_/	ak	2	Vi	en							,			

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil, Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Written comments must be postmarked, e-mailed, faxed, or otherwise submitted by August 30, 2013.

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 5:29 PM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL; CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Cc:

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Subject: Attachments: [EXTERNAL] Table Rock Master Plan Feedback

Table Rock Master Plan Feedback.pdf

To whom it may concern:

Please accept the feedback for the master plan.

Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.sw/.usace.army.mii/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.gspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

Please PRINT.

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)
E-malt
Please circle the number that best represents your opinion of the Preferred Alternative – Alternative 2 "Balanced Use":
1) 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Strongly Disapprove Approve
What are the most important factors that affect your opinion? (1) SAFETY, A CLEAN WATER LINE WHENE PEOPLE ARE WILL MAY SAVE LIVES (2) MISSCURI TOURISM ARKANSAS TOURISM AND TAX DEVENUE.
MAKING TABLE TOOK HARD TO USE RECREATIONALLY WILL HARM RANDULES OTHER COMMENTS
A FIRE HAZARD.
INCREASING LOCAL POAK REVENUES WOULD BE BETTER THAN PULLING SERVICES
LONG TERM REDUCTION IN SLIPS WILL CREATE SUITS SINCE YOU JUST MADE US SPOND TO IMPROJE THEM (BLACK FOAM)
TEXAS GOV. IS ALPERDY TAKING JOBS From MISSOLI, IS THE ALMY COLP DOING THU AT ALL OTHER LAKES? IN TEXAS TOO?
WHAT ACTIONS ARE BEING TAKEN (BEAVER & BULL SCHOOLS?
LEAVE THE LAKE AS IT IS A WOLLAND DEATIFUL LAKE PAISE TAKES INSTEAD.
Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil , Website:

http://www.swl.usace.armv.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMosterPlanUpdate.aspx
Written comments must be postmarked, e-mailed, faxed, or otherwise submitted by August 30, 2013,

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 5:20 PM CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

To:

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Master Plan Feedback Form

Attachments:

master plan feedback.pdf

Please see attached comments.

Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013. rivacy Act 5 USC 552 (b) Your Na Address E-mail: Please circle the number that best represents your opinion of the Preferred Alternative - Alternative 2 "Balanced Use": 2 8 10 7 1 Strongly Strongly Disapprove Approve What are the most important factors that affect your opinion? expansion of low Other Comments expansion of (dager ou can't boat on the main chann can't enjoy the small coves because of all of the pollution that gets

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, was ked

Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605,

 $\underline{http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx}$

Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil , Website:

Written comments must be postmarked, e-mailed, faxed, or otherwise submitted by August 30, 2013.

To: Inursday, August 29, 2013 4:45 PM CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan

TO: Dana Coburn, Chief Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental US Army Corps of Engineers

I ran across a posting that The Corps is rewriting the master plan for Table Rock Lake usage. Since I just ran across this, I am unable to read through and digest the thick draft document. I don't even know if you are proposing changes to lake users such as myself; however, I want to share with you the perspective of a summer vacationer and family that have enjoyed boating on Table Rock lake for over 20 years.

My kids grew up in the St Louis area with Table Rock vacations in their blood. We didn't go to Branson during most of those years, we trailered our boat and rented at Stillwaters Resort, Indian Point. We still do, but now it is daughters, son in laws and grandkids. We LOVE the Christian, family atmosphere at Stillwaters. The pools are great, the property is great for kids, and we ski... in the morning, afternoon, and then take an evening boat cruse.

Why am I writing you? Well, we have seen an increase in boat usage and rumors have it that "the corps is limiting the number of boat slips that can be on the lake". After experiencing Lake of the Ozarks, I can tell you, I support not getting that over boated. However, if your control would come in the form of growing permanent marina storage over the trailered, occasional boater, then that would be a big shame. Stillwaters has the business model and expansion capacity that, in my opinion, should be encouraged in your revised lake usage plan. Please do nothing to prohibit the next generation of boaters to enjoy Table Rock Lake like our family has.

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 4:36 PM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: Attachments: [EXTERNAL] Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan from Fred Thurlow

TABLE ROCK LAKE.31270754.tif



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

Please PRINT
US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)
Address of Tracy Act 5 050 552 (D)
Addition of the second of the
E-mai
Please circle the number that best represents your opinion of
the Preferred Alternative - Alternative 2 "Balanced Use":
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Strongly
Disapprove Approve
What are the most important factors that affect your opinion?
I SUPPORT 2-D ONLY, THE CORPS SHOULD NOT CREATE A 50'
BUFFER OR VEGETATIVE MANAGEMENT AREA AROUND THE LAKE.
THE CORPS SHOULD NOT TAKE ANY ACTION THAT WOULD PROHIBIT
THE USE AND DEVELOPMENT OF EXISTING BOAT DOCK OR RED-LINE
ZONING. THE CORPS SHOW A MOT TAKE ANY ACTION THAT WERE
VALUES NEGATIVELY AFFECT REAL ESTATES VALUES OF
FRUIENCIES ACOUND THE LAKE THIS IS THIS CILLS
EXAMPLE OF GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION INTO TAY PAYORS
LITES.
Comments may be submitted via mail amoil as for all
Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605,
http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 4:18 PM

To: Subject: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL [EXTERNAL] Table Rock Lake


My family and I have been coming to Stillwaters Resort since the 1990's, we love the close lake access and the ability to dock our boat close to the lake. One thing we would love would restaurants with lake access, not crazy like Lake of the Ozarks where we went prior to our falling in love with Table Rock but some options would be very nice.

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 3:29 PM

To: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Master Plan Proposed Changes

We do not want any changes.



Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 3:29 PM

To: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] To the Atten: Dana Coburn

Attachments: S25C-113082911580.pdf

To the Atten: Dana Coburn



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comm	ents m	ust be s	ubmitte	d by Au	igust 30, 2	2013.	- 1		۸		Λ .	r.
Please	P	S	Pr	: \/:	2C1	1	Δ \sim 1		5 I	ISC	552	(h)
Your I	Na				acy		101					
Addre	:5:											
r!												
E-mai	1:											
Please	circle	the nu	mber ti	hat bes	t represe	ents yo	ur opini	on o	of			
the Pr	eferred	Alterr	native -	- Altern	ative 2 "	Balan	ced Use'	' :				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
Strong									Strongly Approve			
What	are the	most i	mporta	ant fact	ors that	affect	vour op	inio	n?			
			, por t				,					
Other	Comm	ents										

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil, Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 3:12 PM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Table Rock Master Plan - Comment Sheet

Attachments:

Table Rock Master Plan comment sheet.pdf

Please find attached my thoughts after reviewing the Master Plan a couple of times. I know I'm just one voice, but nonetheless - thank you for the invitation to participate!

Best regards,



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

JS Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Auui	-3.															
E-mai	1:															
			,)				-								
Pleas	e circl	e the nu	ımber	that bes	t repre	sents yo	ur opi	nion of								
the Pi	eterr	ed Alter	native	– Alterr	ative 2	2 "Balan	ced Us	e":								
1)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10							
Stron								St	trongly							
Disap	prove								pprove			١.			1	
What	are th	e most	import	tant fact	ors tha	at affect	vour o	pinion?	IX	000	nt r	+	th	2 20	ONT	- A
to	000	nes	14			WOT		= the	Cor	0< -	To	00	-	+10	1011	2,01
LAK	e.	IS	PP	of U	2-0	1. Th	10 C	orPs	Shoul	In	ot.	Tad	OA	NIW K	actio	- \
Tha	TO	roul.	J.bu	event	Folk	s fo	m	using	1 Len	eloo	ina	CXI	tin	re	4-1	ine
70C	MV	·Th	ey r	nade	AN	invez	traes			ace +	3 6	Jt.	, thi	計山	de	SA
Other	Comn	nents	/	4 Los	e to	or th	-		Please	-	NT.	TAK	e,Ac	tion	The	f
		TOTAL S		the me	tra	J Ne	anli	yely	Impact	-	PXC	62	Me	Mah	يحح	MA
				_	701	- 010	HIL	CON	DMIC	ap	ye C	pre	w 8	+ TW	re	gio
		The	50	505	fer.	read	TAT	ve n	MANAC	ien	ent	AR	AS	· Janua	أهل) 50
		not	De	crex	Ted'	.))	<u></u>		010	1000	V 70	ں دیا
																•
					US	Priv	acv	/ Act	: 5 U	SC	5.	52	(b)-			
							acy	,					(10)			
													-			62
																00

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil, Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx
Written comments must be postmarked, e-mailed, faxed, or otherwise submitted by August 30, 2013.

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 3:05 PM

To: Subject: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL [EXTERNAL] Att: Dana Corburn

We strongly disapprove of the Preferred Alternative-Alternative 2 Balance use. Thank you for time.

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 2:40 PM

To: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan

Attachments: 20130829143906.pdf

To whom it may concern,

Please see attached regarding the Table Rock Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment.

Thank You,

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

Your it	Nan ss:	JS	PI	riva	acy	/ A	Ct	5	US	$C \in$	052	(b)	_
E-mail	: _	-									9		
				hat best									
the Pr	eferre	d Alter	native	– Altern	ative 2	2 "Balan	ced Us	e":					
1)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10				
Strong Disapp									trongly pprove			*	
What	are the	most	import	ant fact	ors th	at affect	your	pinion?	THIS	DECE	NON W	BULLY R	UN
THE	- USE	JEE,	PARTI	LAKE MA	MA	HAMIE MALTINA	HA HA	D De	N DOS	SOURGE - 11-54	TOK	ACT MENT	MAGING
of.	THE	LAKE	- WF	419AVE	CRES	TEYED -	ADR.	SO Le	W6. A	150 17	- SUR	BY SA	PURAME
ON	ARE	ASC	FTHE	E LAKE	ALF	MORES	DEVE	afos	TS	AGAR	r at	OR	1
	Comm									8	-		
Other	Commi	CIICS										72	
	····												
						4							
										~			380
		,											

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605,

Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil , Website:

, 1

Please PRINT.

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 2:38 PM
To: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] FW: Reply to Master Plan

Attachments: replty to Corps 082913.pdf

I am forwarding this to you in case USP Privacy Act 5 USC 552 fails to do so.

Thank you,



In favor of alternative 2D only, thanks



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below. Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

	e PRINT.							_					
Your	Na	S	Pri	Va	CV	Ac	ct	5	USO	; [552 (k		
Addr	ess			٠۵	9								
F													
E-ma	11:												
Pleas	e circle	the nu	ımber th	at best	repres	ents vo	ur oni	nion (of.				
the P	referred	Alter	native –	Altern	ative 2	"Balan	ced Us	e":	,,				
1	2 D	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	(10)				
Stron	gly								Strongly				
Disap									Approve				
What	are the	most	importa	nt fact	ors that	affect	your	pinio		2E	14 FAVOR	- OF	
PL	AD	SD	044	γ-									
													_
			10	1	1 2	IT KIN K	1 /	ν.	010				_
Other	Comme	ents	140	50	BU	rren	1	IEE	UCU_				_
													_
													_
													_
													_
										_			-
													-
													_
													_
													-
													-
													-

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil. Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 2:30 PM To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan

Corps of Engineers.pdf Attachments:

Please see my attached Assessment

Please confirm receipt.



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

	Please PRINT.
	US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)
	E-mail:
	Please circle the number that best represents your opinion of the Preferred Alternative – Alternative 2 "Balanced Use":
C	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
	Strongly Strongly Disapprove Approve
	What are the most important factors that affect your opinion?
	The Corps Should not create a 50' buffer or Vegetation management
	area around the later
	Other Comments
	I Dupport 2-Donly.
	The Compo Dhould not take any action that would
	,
	prohibil the use + development of existing boot dock
	on red line zoning.
	The Corp Dhould not take any action that would
	negatively affect real estate value of properties
	around the late.

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil, Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

Pleas	e PRINT					Λ	1		
Your Addr	Name ess: _	JS	Pr	IV2	СУ	A	Ct	5	USC 552 (b)
E-ma	il:								
Pleas the P	e circle referred	tne nun I Altern	nber th ati ve —	at best Alterna	represe tive 2 "	ents yo 'Balanc	ur op	inion o	of
1	2 D	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	(10)
	prove								Strongly Approve
What DI	are the 4D	most in	np ort ai	nt facto	rs that	affect	your	opinio	on? WE ARE 14 FALVOR OF
	12		019	7-					
Other	Comme	ents	140	50'	BUI	TFER	- /	IER	OED

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil, Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 1:30 PM

To: US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] FW: Attached Image

Attachments: 4961_001.pdf

Please see the attached comment with respect to the Table Rock Revised Master Plan

1. . .

Please PRINT.



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

	US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)
	E-mail:
	Please circle the number that best represents your opinion of the Preferred Alternative – Alternative 2 "Balanced Use":
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 OR 2 C hest
	Strongly Strongly Approve 0 0
	what are the most important factors that affect your opinion? Keeping the usturd besury of the Iskl - minimized over a pounde besting - having 20065 to food gas and on the 1260.
No	other comments I sin >11 for minizing docks on
	the lake. I would advocate the peturen of
	bust houses for those persons with loke
	front property - maximizes the instrust
	shoneline vs. big docks - Permits
	would be regulated - plans would need to
	meet specific specificstrons. Termits would
	continue to generate revenue.

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil, Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 1:25 PM

To: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL Subject: [EXTERNAL] updated master plan

Attachments: Final Written Comments Form 20130802 db.pdf

see attached pdf file for my wife's and my opinion.

Thank you US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

Please											
Your Addr	Nan ess:	U:	SI	Priv	ac	y A	ct	5 U	ISC	55	2 (b)
E-ma	il: _								V5-1 11		
				ımber t native -							D with allowing vegetation control
1	2	2D	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Stron Disap		ve								Strongly Approve	
How	eve	r all	owing	g th e r e	sidents	s to cor	itrol ve	getatio	n dow	n to the la	lling growth along the lake is good. ake will help the looks, weeds, and
bug	s at	the	lake.	The n	nisquot	es hav	e been	bad th	is yea	r and not	allowing cutting will make it worse.
<u>Other</u>	Col	nme	nts								help. Currently there is a growth of
											fast and out of control. Not only is be hurt by the danger speed and
			use.	XC6331	76 Wak	55. 111	iotining	15 0011	6 30111	corie wiii	be null by the danger speed and
			_								

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil, Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Thursday, August 29, 2013 1:17 PM CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL Sent:

To: [EXTERNAL] Lake proposal Subject:

Attachments: photo.JPG; ATT00002.txt



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock ake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRc.ckMasterPlanUpdate.uspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Please circle the Preferred	Altern	ative – i	Alterna	itive 2	"Balan	ced Use		10			
itrongly Disapprove	3	4	5	6	7	8		10 Strongly Approv			
Vhat are the	most in	nportar	nt facto	ors tha	t affect	your of	pinion	?			
						0					
ther Comme	nts	I	ar	n-I	For	2	_D	or	14.		
								or	14:		
ther Comme								or	ly!		
								or	14:		

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605,

Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil , Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 12:51 PM

To: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Table Rock Master Plan revision

Attachments: Corps letter.doc

Please see the attached comment letter for the Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan. Please contact me if there are questions.

Thank you.

Dana Coburn
Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental
USACE, Little Rock District
P.O. 867
Little Rock, AR 72203

Dear Ms. Coburn

I want to commend the Corps of Engineers for the multiple opportunities to receive public input for the Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan. It is obvious a great deal of work has gone into the draft report. As you know, the lake is a tremendous asset to the region in mitigating flood damage, generating electricity from a renewable, ecologically sound resource and providing recreational opportunities for thousands of people who visit and live in the Tri-Lakes area.

I have owned property in US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b) ince 2003, and I have a slip in one of the boat docks there. Activity in this part of the lake has changed dramatically in those ten years. What was a relatively quiet area is now very busy during boating season-especially on weekends. Parking space around the boat ramp is at capacity on Saturdays and Sundays. In addition to the boats, a group of personal water craft users with ten or more PWCs set up "camp" nearly every weekend and use the cove intensively. In addition more boaters are discovering the cove as a good place to relax, and a growing group of boaters anchor and tie together for extended periods of time.


As development increases in this area of the lake it follows that there will be more activity. However, I fear that one of the high density recreation requests included in several of the plan options has the potential to raise that activity to an unpleasant if not dangerous level. I understand that the proposals for Paradise Point and the Stonecroft property are from the same owner. I do not believe more activity at the first location would have much impact on

Clevenger Cove. However, extensive development of the Stonecroft location has the potential to cause serious problems. Not knowing what is planned in each location makes it impossible to raise specific concerns, but the potential of additional commercial marinas or docks is the fear. I do not believe Clevenger Cove is an appropriate site for the additional intense activity those types of facilities would bring.

I would prefer to see the adoption of plan alternative 2c – no new high density. If that is not the ultimate choice, at minimum, I believe serious consideration should be given to not permitting high density recreation at the Stonecroft location. I would be pleased to discuss the issue further, and if you have questions of me, please call me US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

Thank you.

Sincerely



Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 12:34 PM


To: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comments on Master Plan

Attachments: 20130829122936773.pdf

Please review our attached comments on this matter.

Thank you,





Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

Your M Addre			46	C01	d We oretn	aRd	Ste	Home Te		Realt	Ly
E-mail	US I	⊃riv	ac	y A	\ct	5	US	C 55	2 (1	b) =	-
	circle the n eferred Alte						of				
1)	2 3	4	5	6	7	8 9	10				*
Strong Disapp							Strongl Approv	•		٠	
What a	are the most	imp ort a	nt facto	rs that a	iffect yo	ur opini	on?				
-	The first of the second second second										
				-							
Other (Comments										
	e sup	port 50	Pla	iffer	or v	ONL	Y. T	he Corp	sho	uld No	around
	would	proh	ibit	the	yse	and	devet	pinent	of e	Xisting	1/07
	tale value	ock of	action	on to	ies i	vould	ind is	twely a	ffeet	+ real	estate
T	hanks,										
The	Owner.	s and	(A	gents	of	Cold	well E	Banker 1	Home	Team	Realty

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil, Website:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 12:32 PM

To: Subject: Attachments: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL [EXTERNAL] Assessment

Scan0061.pdf



Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

US Privacy Act 5 USC 552	2 (b
E-ma	
Please circle the number that best represents your opinion of the Preferred Alternative – Alternative 2 "Balanced Use":	27
1 (2) 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	
Strongly Disapprove Strongly Approve	
Of a LAKEFRONT AND a Realtor I very much disagree with the 50' Buffer. That buffer will de-Volue the Lakefront properties A well as making akefronts less attractive to home buyers. Other comments The Lake was Boautiful And Clear working the septic systems I And Control the algae that comes from Springfield we can be a from Springfield we can	this Ig on have keep

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605, Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil , Websita:

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 12:14 PM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject:

[EXTERNAL]

Dana Coburn,

As an owner at US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b)

e are asking that there be no action taken and that the land classifications would stay the same as they are now. That no shoreline areas would be designated as Vegetative Management, and there would be no revisions made to respond to changed conditions.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 11:59 AM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Master Plan Revision Email Address

My husband and I own US Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b) We attended your informational meeting two weeks ago in She'll Knob. Presently we share a community dock with the other home owners in our small subdivision and there are two additional private docks in our cove. Our concern is that the new revision plan would allow another community dock placed in our cove without the stipulation of land ownership directly near the dock. We are on a cul-de-sac with little room for additional parking. All the lots on our side of the cove are owned by members of our Oak Tree dock association so we are assuming there will not be permits issued for an additional community dock on our side of the cove since there are no additional lots to purchase. Could you please confirm or deny this assumption?

Thank you for listening to the public who treasure the peace, beauty, and tranquility of Table Rock lake.

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 11:51 AM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Table Rock Lake Master Plan comment

Ms Dana Coburn:

Having been a life long resident of Kimberling City and living very near the proposed permitting change, I was dismayed to hear the details of the revision allowing a High Density Recreational Use permit for the Fisher Creek area of Table Rock Lake.

The cove is already congested (particularly on weekends) and a significant increase in both vehicular and boat traffic would only add to that situation.

I'm also concerned about the potential safety impact of many more boats operating in Fisher Cove in conjunction with swimmers from the numerous permitted docks, and boaters using wake boards/tubes/ and water skis (not to mention PWC operators, as well).

The total cove is not that large, and I fear inevitable conflicts resulting from too many boats and too many people using that confined space.

Might I suggest a Low Density Recreational Use permit instead? I realize the property owners would like to utilize their land for financial gain, but other factors need to be taken into consideration before allowing such a massive increase in use.

Taxpayers funded, and the Corps of Engineers designed and has managed the Lake toward multiple goals since its' inception. The Corps has, in my opinion, done a terrific job ofexpanding and balancing recreational use, flood control objectives, and power generation.

Please don't allow this proposed change making Table Rock resemble Lake of the Ozarks, where all users suffer from over-utilization.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 11:41 AM

To: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL Subject: [EXTERNAL] mowing area

I do not like the proposed plan of a "no mow" area near the lake. It is impractical in residential areas as infestations of snakes, chiggers, etc. are inevitable. We have had problems with copperheads forcing us to get rid of low lying ground cover on our property.

thx,

Sent: To: Thursday, August 29, 2013 11:11 AM


CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Table Rock Lake Master Plan

Attachments:

Table Rock Lake Master Plan.pdf

Please see attached





Please use this form to provide your comments on the draft revised Table Rock Lake Master Plan and the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and potential impacts of the alternatives. The Draft Master Plan and EA may be found on the web at http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx. Feel free to take an extra form and send it back later to USACE at the addresses below.

Please PRINT. Privacy Act 5 USC 552 (b) Address: E-mail: Please circle the number that best represents your opinion of the Preferred Alternative - Alternative 2 "Balanced Use": 10 1 Strongly Strongly Approve Disapprove What are the most important factors that affect your opinion? ______ CATOY WATER SOUTS NO VIEW OF THE LAKE FROM THE SHORE Other Comments

Comments may be submitted via mail, email, or fax with attention to: Dana Coburn, Chief, Environmental Branch, Planning and Environmental, USACE, Little Rock District, P.O. Box 867, Little Rock, AR 72203. Fax: (501) 324-5605,

Email: M4TRMP@usace.army.mil , Website:

Comments must be submitted by August 30, 2013.

http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 10:57 AM

To: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan and Environmental Assessment

Attn: Dana Coburn, Fief. Environmental Branch

After reviewing the Master Plan Revision I am in favor of Plan 2-D ONLY. The Corps should not (a) create a 50' buffer or vegetative management around the lake, (b) take any action that would prohibit the use and development of existing boat docks or "red-line" zoning, (c) take any action that would negatively affect real estate values of properties around the lake.

Regards

Sent:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 10:29 AM

To:

CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Swepco

I am writing in opposition of the shipe Rd. To Kings River swepco powerline.
This will desecrate our beautiful Ozarks...put our children at risk of leukemia. .our land values will be slashed, not to mention wildlife, and a detriment to all of us with wells and springs. Please help us. This is not needed.

Sent: To:

Subject:

Thursday, August 29, 2013 10:04 AM CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL [EXTERNAL] Table Rock Lake

I am opposed to the proposed restrictions on Table Rock Lake. These revisions negatively impact those of us who have existing homes that are considered lakefront property. Those of us who take pride in our homes as well as the lake are the brunt of unfair and arbitrary restrictions designed solely to appease environmentalist. This restrictions will negatively impact the already fragile local economy and tax bases by making the shoreline area of Table Rock Lake even harder to enjoy. The recommendation that will not provide for a grandfathering clause for those homes currently exempted by existing regulations is completely arbitrary and unfair. As to a grandfathering clause, would it not be more fair to provide a grandfather for all existing lakefront homes? If you choose to modify the restrictions as proposed, why not make them apply solely for new homes and developments and docks.

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 9:58 AM
To: CESWL-TR Master Plan SWL

Subject: [EXTERNAL] USACE Comment - Table Rock Lake Revised Master Plan

Ms. Coburn,

I strongly urge you to deny AEP/SWEPCO any crossing along the White River consistent with the USACE refusal of proposed routes 62, 86, and 91 and please include proposed routes 33, 108 and 109 as they are also subject to severe environmental impacts and are also not subject to eminent domain (per the USACE letter dated July 10, 2013 and rec'd by the APSC on July 17, 2013). This high voltage transmission line project is ill-conceived and does not hold merit. It should be challenged and denied in its entirety.

I respectfully ask that the USACE do the right thing and not allow the raping of the purity of our land and be the protector of our river banks, ecology and environment as well as our safety and well-being.

Thank you for allowing input on this matter.