



**DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY**  
LITTLE ROCK DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
700 W CAPITOL AVE  
LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS 72201

**MORTAR CREEK**  
**QUITMAN, FAULKNER COUNTY, ARKANSAS**

**Appendix B**  
**Compliance Documentation**

**April 2024**



## United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE  
Arkansas Ecological Services Field Office  
110 South Amity Suite 300  
Conway, AR 72032-8975  
Phone: (501) 513-4470 Fax: (501) 513-4480



In Reply Refer To:  
Project Code: 2024-0045870  
Project Name: Mortar Creek

February 06, 2024

Subject: List of threatened and endangered species that may occur in your proposed project location or may be affected by your proposed project

### To Whom It May Concern:

The enclosed species list identifies threatened, endangered, proposed and candidate species, as well as proposed and final designated critical habitat, that may occur within the boundary of your proposed project and/or may be affected by your proposed project. The species list fulfills the requirements of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) under section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act (Act) of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

New information based on updated surveys, changes in the abundance and distribution of species, changed habitat conditions, or other factors could change this list. Please feel free to contact us if you need more current information or assistance regarding the potential impacts to federally proposed, listed, and candidate species and federally designated and proposed critical habitat. Please note that under 50 CFR 402.12(e) of the regulations implementing section 7 of the Act, the accuracy of this species list should be verified after 90 days. This verification can be completed formally or informally as desired. The Service recommends that verification be completed by visiting the IPaC website at regular intervals during project planning and implementation for updates to species lists and information. An updated list may be requested through the IPaC system by completing the same process used to receive the enclosed list.

The purpose of the Act is to provide a means whereby threatened and endangered species and the ecosystems upon which they depend may be conserved. Under sections 7(a)(1) and 7(a)(2) of the Act and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 402 *et seq.*), Federal agencies are required to utilize their authorities to carry out programs for the conservation of threatened and endangered species and to determine whether projects may affect threatened and endangered species and/or designated critical habitat.

A Biological Assessment is required for construction projects (or other undertakings having similar physical impacts) that are major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment as defined in the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(c)). For projects other than major construction activities, the Service suggests that a biological

evaluation similar to a Biological Assessment be prepared to determine whether the project may affect listed or proposed species and/or designated or proposed critical habitat. Recommended contents of a Biological Assessment are described at 50 CFR 402.12.

If a Federal agency determines, based on the Biological Assessment or biological evaluation, that listed species and/or designated critical habitat may be affected by the proposed project, the agency is required to consult with the Service pursuant to 50 CFR 402. In addition, the Service recommends that candidate species, proposed species and proposed critical habitat be addressed within the consultation. More information on the regulations and procedures for section 7 consultation, including the role of permit or license applicants, can be found in the "Endangered Species Consultation Handbook" at:

<https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/endangered-species-consultation-handbook.pdf>

**Migratory Birds:** In addition to responsibilities to protect threatened and endangered species under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), there are additional responsibilities under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA) to protect native birds from project-related impacts. Any activity, intentional or unintentional, resulting in take of migratory birds, including eagles, is prohibited unless otherwise permitted by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (50 C.F.R. Sec. 10.12 and 16 U.S.C. Sec. 668(a)). For more information regarding these Acts see <https://www.fws.gov/program/migratory-bird-permit/what-we-do>.

The MBTA has no provision for allowing take of migratory birds that may be unintentionally killed or injured by otherwise lawful activities. It is the responsibility of the project proponent to comply with these Acts by identifying potential impacts to migratory birds and eagles within applicable NEPA documents (when there is a federal nexus) or a Bird/Eagle Conservation Plan (when there is no federal nexus). Proponents should implement conservation measures to avoid or minimize the production of project-related stressors or minimize the exposure of birds and their resources to the project-related stressors. For more information on avian stressors and recommended conservation measures see <https://www.fws.gov/library/collections/threats-birds>.

In addition to MBTA and BGEPA, Executive Order 13186: *Responsibilities of Federal Agencies to Protect Migratory Birds*, obligates all Federal agencies that engage in or authorize activities that might affect migratory birds, to minimize those effects and encourage conservation measures that will improve bird populations. Executive Order 13186 provides for the protection of both migratory birds and migratory bird habitat. For information regarding the implementation of Executive Order 13186, please visit <https://www.fws.gov/partner/council-conservation-migratory-birds>.

We appreciate your concern for threatened and endangered species. The Service encourages Federal agencies to include conservation of threatened and endangered species into their project planning to further the purposes of the Act. Please include the Consultation Code in the header of this letter with any request for consultation or correspondence about your project that you submit to our office.

Attachment(s):

- Official Species List

## OFFICIAL SPECIES LIST

This list is provided pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, and fulfills the requirement for Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary of the Interior information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of a proposed action".

This species list is provided by:

**Arkansas Ecological Services Field Office**

110 South Amity Suite 300

Conway, AR 72032-8975

(501) 513-4470

## PROJECT SUMMARY

Project Code: 2024-0045870  
Project Name: Mortar Creek  
Project Type: Shoreline Stabilization  
Project Description: Emergency streambank restoration  
Project Location:

The approximate location of the project can be viewed in Google Maps: <https://www.google.com/maps/@35.33531725,-92.21598258318522,14z>



Counties: Faulkner County, Arkansas

## ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT SPECIES

There is a total of 7 threatened, endangered, or candidate species on this species list.

Species on this list should be considered in an effects analysis for your project and could include species that exist in another geographic area. For example, certain fish may appear on the species list because a project could affect downstream species.

IPaC does not display listed species or critical habitats under the sole jurisdiction of NOAA Fisheries<sup>1</sup>, as USFWS does not have the authority to speak on behalf of NOAA and the Department of Commerce.

See the "Critical habitats" section below for those critical habitats that lie wholly or partially within your project area under this office's jurisdiction. Please contact the designated FWS office if you have questions.

- 
1. [NOAA Fisheries](#), also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

## MAMMALS

NAME	STATUS
Northern Long-eared Bat <i>Myotis septentrionalis</i> No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9045">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9045</a>	Endangered
Tricolored Bat <i>Perimyotis subflavus</i> No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/10515">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/10515</a>	Proposed Endangered

## BIRDS

NAME	STATUS
Eastern Black Rail <i>Laterallus jamaicensis ssp. jamaicensis</i> No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/10477">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/10477</a>	Threatened
Piping Plover <i>Charadrius melodus</i> Population: [Atlantic Coast and Northern Great Plains populations] - Wherever found, except those areas where listed as endangered. There is <b>final</b> critical habitat for this species. Your location does not overlap the critical habitat. Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/6039">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/6039</a>	Threatened
Rufa Red Knot <i>Calidris canutus rufa</i> There is <b>proposed</b> critical habitat for this species. Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1864">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1864</a>	Threatened

## REPTILES

NAME	STATUS
Alligator Snapping Turtle <i>Macrochelys temminckii</i> No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/4658">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/4658</a>	Proposed Threatened

## INSECTS

NAME	STATUS
Monarch Butterfly <i>Danaus plexippus</i> No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9743">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9743</a>	Candidate

## CRITICAL HABITATS

THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS WITHIN YOUR PROJECT AREA UNDER THIS OFFICE'S JURISDICTION.

YOU ARE STILL REQUIRED TO DETERMINE IF YOUR PROJECT(S) MAY HAVE EFFECTS ON ALL ABOVE LISTED SPECIES.

## **IPAC USER CONTACT INFORMATION**

Agency: Army Corps of Engineers  
Name: Brandon Ford Ford  
Address: 2000 Fort Point Road  
City: Galveston  
State: TX  
Zip: 77550  
Email: christopher.b.ford@usace.army.mil  
Phone: 4097663079





## United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE  
Arkansas Ecological Services Field Office  
110 South Amity Suite 300  
Conway, AR 72032-8975  
Phone: (501) 513-4470 Fax: (501) 513-4480



In Reply Refer To:  
Project code: 2024-0045870  
Project Name: Mortar Creek

February 06, 2024

Subject: Consistency letter for 'Mortar Creek' for specified federally threatened and endangered species and designated critical habitat that may occur in your proposed project area consistent with the Arkansas Determination Key for project review and guidance for federally listed species (Arkansas Dkey).

Dear Brandon Ford Ford:

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) received on **February 06, 2024** your effect determination(s) for the 'Mortar Creek' (the Action) using the Arkansas DKey within the Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) system. The Service developed this system in accordance with the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA) (87 Stat.884, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).

Based on the answers provided, the proposed Action is consistent with a determination of “no effect” for the following species as outlined in the Service’s Arkansas Determination Key for project review and guidance for federally listed species.

Species	Listing Status	Determination
Eastern Black Rail ( <i>Laterallus jamaicensis ssp. jamaicensis</i> )	Threatened	No effect
Piping Plover ( <i>Charadrius melodus</i> )	Threatened	No effect
Rufa Red Knot ( <i>Calidris canutus rufa</i> )	Threatened	No effect

### Status

Your agency has met consultation requirements for these species by informing the Service of the “no effect” determinations. No further consultation for this project is required for these species. This consistency letter confirms you may rely on effect determinations you reached by considering the Arkansas DKey to satisfy agency consultation requirements under Section 7(a) (2) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (87 Stat. 884, as amended 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.; ESA).

The Service recommends that your agency contact the Arkansas Ecological Services Field Office or re-evaluate this key in IPaC if: 1) the scope, timing, duration, or location of the proposed project changes; 2) new information reveals the action may affect listed species or designated critical habitat; 3) a new species is listed or critical habitat designated. If any of the above conditions occurs, additional consultation with the Arkansas Ecological Services Field Office should take place before project changes are final or resources committed.

This letter only covers the listed species in the above table. The following species may also occur in the Action area:

- Alligator Snapping Turtle *Macrochelys temminckii* Proposed Threatened
- Monarch Butterfly *Danaus plexippus* Candidate
- Northern Long-eared Bat *Myotis septentrionalis* Endangered
- Tricolored Bat *Perimyotis subflavus* Proposed Endangered

If you determine your project may affect additional listed or proposed listed species not covered by the Arkansas ESFO DKey, please contact our office at 501-513-4470, [arkansas\\_es\\_clearance@fws.gov](mailto:arkansas_es_clearance@fws.gov), or your agency point of contact Arkansas ESFO to discuss methods to avoid or minimize potential adverse effects to those species. Candidate species are not afforded protection under the ESA; however, we recommend they be considered in project planning and that conservation measures be implemented to avoid or minimize impacts to individuals or their habitat as much as possible.

**Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act:** The following resources are provided to project proponents and consulting agencies as additional information. Bald and golden eagles are not included in this section 7(a)(2) consultation and this information does not constitute a determination of effects by the Service.

The Service developed the National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines to advise landowners, land managers, and others who share public and private lands with Bald Eagles when and under what circumstances the protective provisions of the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act may apply to their activities. The guidelines should be consulted prior to conducting new or intermittent activity near an eagle nest. Activity specific guidelines begin on page 10 of the document. To access a copy of the National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines please visit the Service's Bald and Golden Eagle Management webpage and scroll down to the Guidance and Tools section: <https://www.fws.gov/library/collections/bald-and-golden-eagle-management>

If the recommendations detailed in the National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines cannot be followed, you may apply for a permit to authorize removal or relocation of an eagle nest in certain instances. To obtain an application form or contact information for Regional Migratory Bird Permit Offices please visit the Service's Bald and Golden Eagle Management webpage and scroll down to the Permits section: <https://www.fws.gov/library/collections/bald-and-golden-eagle-management>

**Action Description**

You provided to IPaC the following name and description for the subject Action.

**1. Name**

Mortar Creek

**2. Description**

The following description was provided for the project 'Mortar Creek':

Emergency streambank restoration

The approximate location of the project can be viewed in Google Maps: <https://www.google.com/maps/@35.33531725,-92.21598258318522,14z>



## **Species Protection Measures**

## QUALIFICATION INTERVIEW

1. Have you made an effects determination of "no effect" for all species in the area of the project? A "no effect" determination means the project will have no beneficial effect, no short-term adverse effects, and no long-term adverse effects on any of the species on the IPaC-generated species list for the proposed project or those species habitat. A project with effects that cannot be meaningfully measured, detected or evaluated, effects that are extremely unlikely to occur, or entirely beneficial effects should not have a "no effect" determination. (If unsure, select "No").

No

2. Is the action authorized, funded, or being carried out by a Federal agency?

Yes

3. Are you the the action agency or the designated non-federal representative?

Yes

4. Choose the agency you represent in this consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service:

*b. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers*

5. [Semantic] Does the project intersect designated critical habitat for the Leopard Darter?

**Automatically answered**

No

6. [Semantic] Does the project intersect designated critical habitat for the Neosho Mucket?

**Automatically answered**

No

7. [Semantic] Does the project intersect designated critical habitat for Yellowcheek Darter?

**Automatically answered**

No

8. [Semantic] Does the project intersect designated critical habitat for Rabbitsfoot?

**Automatically answered**

No

9. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the American burying beetle consultation area?

**Automatically answered**

No

10. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the red-cockaded woodpecker AOI?

**Automatically answered**

No

11. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the Eastern black rail AOI?

**Automatically answered**

Yes

12. Have you made a "no effect" determination for Eastern Black Rail? Eastern Black Rails are small, secretive marsh birds that may occur in freshwater wetlands in Arkansas.  
Yes
13. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the red knot AOI?  
**Automatically answered**  
Yes
14. Have you made a "no effect" determination for Red Knot? Red knots may be transiently found feeding along shorelines, marshes, or flooded fields in Arkansas during migration periods.  
Yes
15. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the Piping Plover AOI?  
**Automatically answered**  
Yes
16. Have you made a "no effect" determination for Piping Plover? Piping Plovers may be transiently found feeding along shorelines, marshes, or flooded fields in Arkansas during migration periods.  
Yes
17. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the Whooping Crane AOI?  
**Automatically answered**  
No
18. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the interior least tern AOI?  
**Automatically answered**  
No
19. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the Gray Bat AOI?  
**Automatically answered**  
No
20. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the Ozark Big-eared Bat AOI?  
**Automatically answered**  
No
21. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the Indiana bat AOI?  
**Automatically answered**  
No
22. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the Benton County Cave Crayfish AOI?  
**Automatically answered**  
No
23. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the Hell Creek Cave Crayfish AOI?  
**Automatically answered**  
No

24. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the Ozark cavefish AOI?

**Automatically answered**

*No*

25. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the Missouri bladderpod AOI?

**Automatically answered**

*No*

26. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the Geocarpon AOI?

**Automatically answered**

*No*

27. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the running buffalo clover AOI?

**Automatically answered**

*No*

28. [Semantic] Does the project intersect the Pondberry AOI?

**Automatically answered**

*No*

## **IPAC USER CONTACT INFORMATION**

Agency: Army Corps of Engineers

Name: Brandon Ford Ford

Address: 2000 Fort Point Road

City: Galveston

State: TX

Zip: 77550

Email: christopher.b.ford@usace.army.mil

Phone: 4097663079





## United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE  
Arkansas Ecological Services Field Office  
110 South Amity Suite 300  
Conway, AR 72032-8975  
Phone: (501) 513-4470 Fax: (501) 513-4480



In Reply Refer To:  
Project code: 2024-0045870  
Project Name: Mortar Creek

February 06, 2024

Federal Nexus: yes  
Federal Action Agency (if applicable): Army Corps of Engineers

**Subject:** Record of project representative's no effect determination for 'Mortar Creek'

Dear Brandon Ford Ford:

This letter records your determination using the Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) system provided to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) on February 06, 2024, for 'Mortar Creek' (here forward, Project). This project has been assigned Project Code 2024-0045870 and all future correspondence should clearly reference this number. **Please carefully review this letter.**

### **Ensuring Accurate Determinations When Using IPaC**

The Service developed the IPaC system and associated species' determination keys in accordance with the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA; 87 Stat. 884, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) and based on a standing analysis. All information submitted by the Project proponent into IPaC must accurately represent the full scope and details of the Project.

Failure to accurately represent or implement the Project as detailed in IPaC or the Northern Long-eared Bat Rangewide Determination Key (Dkey), invalidates this letter. ***Answers to certain questions in the DKey commit the project proponent to implementation of conservation measures that must be followed for the ESA determination to remain valid.***

### **Determination for the Northern Long-Eared Bat**

Based upon your IPaC submission and a standing analysis, your project has reached the determination of "No Effect" on the northern long-eared bat. To make a no effect determination, the full scope of the proposed project implementation (action) should not have any effects (either positive or negative), to a federally listed species or designated critical habitat. Effects of the action are all consequences to listed species or critical habitat that are caused by the proposed action, including the consequences of other activities that are caused by the proposed action. A

consequence is caused by the proposed action if it would not occur but for the proposed action and it is reasonably certain to occur. Effects of the action may occur later in time and may include consequences occurring outside the immediate area involved in the action. (See § 402.17).

Under Section 7 of the ESA, if a federal action agency makes a no effect determination, no consultation with the Service is required (ESA §7). If a proposed Federal action may affect a listed species or designated critical habitat, formal consultation is required except when the Service concurs, in writing, that a proposed action "is not likely to adversely affect" listed species or designated critical habitat [50 CFR §402.02, 50 CFR§402.13].

### **Other Species and Critical Habitat that May be Present in the Action Area**

The IPaC-assisted determination for the northern long-eared bat does not apply to the following ESA-protected species and/or critical habitat that also may occur in your Action area:

- Alligator Snapping Turtle *Macrochelys temminckii* Proposed Threatened
- Eastern Black Rail *Laterallus jamaicensis ssp. jamaicensis* Threatened
- Monarch Butterfly *Danaus plexippus* Candidate
- Piping Plover *Charadrius melodus* Threatened
- Rufa Red Knot *Calidris canutus rufa* Threatened
- Tricolored Bat *Perimyotis subflavus* Proposed Endangered

You may coordinate with our Office to determine whether the Action may affect the animal species listed above and, if so, how they may be affected.

### **Next Steps**

Based upon your IPaC submission, your project has reached the determination of "No Effect" on the northern long-eared bat. If there are no updates on listed species, no further consultation/coordination for this project is required with respect to the northern long-eared bat. However, the Service recommends that project proponents re-evaluate the Project in IPaC if: 1) the scope, timing, duration, or location of the Project changes (includes any project changes or amendments); 2) new information reveals the Project may impact (positively or negatively) federally listed species or designated critical habitat; or 3) a new species is listed, or critical habitat designated. If any of the above conditions occurs, additional coordination with the Service should take place to ensure compliance with the Act.

If you have any questions regarding this letter or need further assistance, please contact the Arkansas Ecological Services Field Office and reference Project Code 2024-0045870 associated with this Project.

**Action Description**

You provided to IPaC the following name and description for the subject Action.

**1. Name**

Mortar Creek

**2. Description**

The following description was provided for the project 'Mortar Creek':

Emergency streambank restoration

The approximate location of the project can be viewed in Google Maps: <https://www.google.com/maps/@35.33531725,-92.21598258318522,14z>



## DETERMINATION KEY RESULT

Based on the information you provided, you have determined that the Proposed Action will have no effect on the Endangered northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*). Therefore, no consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service pursuant to Section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (87 Stat. 884, as amended 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) is required for those species.

## QUALIFICATION INTERVIEW

1. Does the proposed project include, or is it reasonably certain to cause, intentional take of the northern long-eared bat or any other listed species?

**Note:** Intentional take is defined as take that is the intended result of a project. Intentional take could refer to research, direct species management, surveys, and/or studies that include intentional handling/encountering, harassment, collection, or capturing of any individual of a federally listed threatened, endangered or proposed species?

No

2. The action area does not overlap with an area for which U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service currently has data to support the presumption that the northern long-eared bat is present. Are you aware of other data that indicates that northern long-eared bats (NLEB) are likely to be present in the action area?

Bat occurrence data may include identification of NLEBs in hibernacula, capture of NLEBs, tracking of NLEBs to roost trees, or confirmed NLEB acoustic detections. Data on captures, roost tree use, and acoustic detections should post-date the year when white-nose syndrome was detected in the relevant state. With this question, we are looking for data that, for some reason, may have not yet been made available to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

No

3. Does any component of the action involve construction or operation of wind turbines?

**Note:** For federal actions, answer 'yes' if the construction or operation of wind power facilities is either (1) part of the federal action or (2) would not occur but for a federal agency action (federal permit, funding, etc.).

No

4. Is the proposed action authorized, permitted, licensed, funded, or being carried out by a Federal agency in whole or in part?

Yes

5. Is the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), or Federal Transit Administration (FTA) funding or authorizing the proposed action, in whole or in part?

No

6. Are you an employee of the federal action agency or have you been officially designated in writing by the agency as its designated non-federal representative for the purposes of Endangered Species Act Section 7 informal consultation per 50 CFR § 402.08?

**Note:** This key may be used for federal actions and for non-federal actions to facilitate section 7 consultation and to help determine whether an incidental take permit may be needed, respectively. This question is for information purposes only.

Yes

7. Is the lead federal action agency the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or Federal Communications Commission (FCC)? Is the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or Federal Communications Commission (FCC) funding or authorizing the proposed action, in whole or in part?

No

8. Is the lead federal action agency the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)?

No

9. Have you determined that your proposed action will have no effect on the northern long-eared bat? Remember to consider the [effects of any activities](#) that would not occur but for the proposed action.

If you think that the northern long-eared bat may be affected by your project or if you would like assistance in deciding, answer “No” below and continue through the key. If you have determined that the northern long-eared bat does not occur in your project’s action area and/or that your project will have no effects whatsoever on the species despite the potential for it to occur in the action area, you may make a “no effect” determination for the northern long-eared bat.

**Note:** Federal agencies (or their designated non-federal representatives) must consult with USFWS on federal agency actions that may affect listed species [50 CFR 402.14(a)]. Consultation is not required for actions that will not affect listed species or critical habitat. Therefore, this determination key will not provide a consistency or verification letter for actions that will not affect listed species. If you believe that the northern long-eared bat may be affected by your project or if you would like assistance in deciding, please answer “No” and continue through the key. Remember that this key addresses only effects to the northern long-eared bat. Consultation with USFWS would be required if your action may affect another listed species or critical habitat. The definition of [Effects of the Action](#) can be found here: <https://www.fws.gov/media/northern-long-eared-bat-assisted-determination-key-selected-definitions>

Yes

## PROJECT QUESTIONNAIRE

Will all project activities be completed by April 1, 2024?

*No*

## **IPAC USER CONTACT INFORMATION**

Agency: Army Corps of Engineers

Name: Brandon Ford Ford

Address: 2000 Fort Point Road

City: Galveston

State: TX

Zip: 77550

Email: christopher.b.ford@usace.army.mil

Phone: 4097663079



## ARKANSAS ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT

November 18<sup>th</sup>, 2020

Colonel Eric M. Noe, District Commander  
Little Rock District Corps of Engineers  
P.O. Box 867  
Little Rock, Arkansas 72203-0867

RE: Public Notice: Re-issuance of Nationwide Permits 2020

Dear Colonel Noe,

The Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment (E&E), Division of Environmental Quality (DEQ) has completed its review of the above referenced public notice for re-issuance of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Nationwide Permits (NWP) for the State of Arkansas.

DEQ has determined discharges from projects covered under these NWP will comply with water quality requirements according to Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission's (APC&EC) Rule 2.

Therefore, pursuant to §401(a)(1) of the Clean Water Act, the DEQ hereby issues water quality certification for all NWP, contingent upon the following conditions:

- 1) An individual water quality certification request must be submitted to DEQ for activities which may impact Extraordinary Resource Waters, Ecologically Sensitive Waterbodies, and Natural Scenic Waterways as identified in APC&EC Rule 2, Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters of the State of Arkansas. In order to determine compliance with the standards set forth in APC&EC Rule 2.203 for these Outstanding Resource Waters, projects covered under NWP on these waters shall be reviewed by DEQ.
- 2) In accordance with APC&EC Rule 2.305, the applicant shall obtain a Short Term Activity Authorization (STAA) when performing work in the wetted area of waters of the state as defined by Arkansas Code Annotated (A.C.A.) §8-4-102. Activities approved under a STAA, are subject to the provisions that no permanent or long-term impairment of beneficial uses is likely to result from such activity. More information can be obtained by visiting <https://www.adeq.state.ar.us/water/planning/instream/> or <https://portal.adeq.state.ar.us/>.
- 3) The applicant shall implement all practicable best management practices (BMPs) to avoid excessive impacts of turbidity to waters of the state, 40 CFR §122.26(c).
- 4) The applicant will take all reasonable measures to prevent the spillage or leakage of any chemicals, oil, grease, gasoline, diesel, or other fuel in accordance with A.C.A. §8-4-217. In the unlikely event such spillage or leakage occurs, the applicant must contact E&E Emergency Response at 501-682-0716 immediately.



- 5) If a construction site will disturb equal to or greater than one (1) acre and less than five (5) acres, the applicant shall comply with the requirements in APC&EC Rule 6.203 for Stormwater discharge associated with a small construction site, as defined in APC&EC Rule No. 6. If the construction site will disturb five (5) acres or more, the applicant shall comply with the terms of the Stormwater Construction General Permit Number ARR150000 prior to the start of construction. BMPs must be implemented regardless of the size. More information can be obtained by contacting the NPDES Stormwater Section of DEQ at (501) 682-0623.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Blanz', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Robert E. Blanz, Ph.D., P.E.  
Associate Director, Office of Water Quality

Cc: Sarah Chitwood, Regulatory Division Chief USACE  
Lisa Boyle, Project Manager USACE  
Wanda Boyd, U.S. EPA

# Draft EVALUATION OF SECTION 404(b)(1) GUIDELINES (SHORT FORM)

## Guideline Compliance:

1. Review of Compliance (230.10(a)-(d))		
A review of the proposed project indicates that:	Yes	No*
a. The placement represents the least environmentally damaging practicable alternative and, if in a special aquatic site, the activity associated with the placement must have direct access or proximity to, or be located in the aquatic ecosystem, to fulfill its basic purpose (if no, see section 2 and information gathered for EA alternative).	X	
b. The activity does not appear to:		
1) Violate applicable state water quality standards or effluent standards prohibited under Section 307 of the Clean Water Act;	X	
2) Jeopardize the existence of Federally-listed endangered or threatened species or their habitat; and	X	
3) Violate requirements of any Federally-designated marine sanctuary (if no, see section 2b and check responses from resource and water quality certifying agencies).	X	
c. The activity will not cause or contribute to significant degradation of waters of the U.S. including adverse effects on human health, life stages of organisms dependent on the aquatic ecosystem, ecosystem diversity, productivity and stability, and recreational, aesthetic, and economic values (if no, see values, Section 2)	X	
d. Appropriate and practicable steps have been taken to minimize potential adverse impacts of the discharge on the aquatic ecosystem (if no, see Section 5)	X	

**Project Description:** The Continuing Authorities Program Section 14 Emergency Streambank Protection for Mortar Creek, Quitman, AR project consists of protecting bank and removing former bridge abutments from the Mortar Creek road crossing. The Recommended Plan consists of demolishing and removing the concrete bridge abutments and footings which are adjacent to the north side of the existing bridge. These abutments form a narrower opening than the existing bridge, therefore, the existing bank would be widened and cut back at a 2H:1V slope. This slope is hardened with R400 riprap. In addition, all four wingwalls of the current bridge abutment would be reinforced with R400 riprap. The Recommended Plan is in compliance with all state and Federal Clean Water Act regulations and requirements. Since this is an emergency streambank protection project, there would be no other practical alternatives to conducting proposed activities within the flood plain. Nationwide Permit 13 authorizes bank stabilization activities necessary for erosion prevention.

<b>2. Technical Evaluation Factors (Subparts C-F)</b>	<b>Not Applicable</b>	<b>Not Significant</b>	<b>Significant*</b>
<b>a. Physical and Chemical Characteristics of the Aquatic Ecosystem (Subpart C)</b>			
1) Substrate impacts		X	
2) Suspended particulates/turbidity impacts		X	
3) Water column impacts		X	
4) Alteration of current patterns and water circulation		X	
5) Alteration of normal water fluctuation/ hydroperiod	X		
6) Alteration of salinity gradients	X		
<b>b. Biological Characteristics of the Aquatic Ecosystem (Subpart D)</b>			
1) Effect on threatened/endangered species and their habitat		X	
2) Effect on the aquatic food web		X	
3) Effect on other wildlife (mammals, birds, reptiles and amphibians)		X	
<b>c. Special Aquatic Sites (Subpart E)</b>			
1) Sanctuaries and refuges	X		
2) Wetlands		X	
3) Mud flats	X		
4) Vegetated shallows	X		
5) Coral reefs	X		
6) Riffle and pool complexes	X		
<b>d. Human Use Characteristics (Subpart F)</b>			
1) Effects on municipal and private water supplies	X		
2) Recreational and commercial fisheries impacts		X	
3) Effects on water-related recreation		X	
4) Aesthetic impacts	X		
5) Effects on parks, national and historical monuments, national seashores, wilderness areas, research sites, and similar preserves	X		

\* Where a 'Significant' category is checked, add explanation below.

#### **List Appropriate References:**

There were no significant effects anticipated for the factors listed.

The biological characteristics of the with and without project scenarios are presented in the Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environmental Assessment and the Environmental Appendix to the Report (Appendix B).

<b>3. Evaluation of Dredged or Fill Material (Subpart G)</b>		
a. The following information has been considered in evaluating the biological availability of possible contaminants in dredged or fill material (check only those appropriate)		
1) Physical characteristics	X	
2) Hydrography in relation to known or anticipated sources of contaminants	X	
3) Results from previous testing of the material or similar material in the vicinity of the project	X	
4) Known, significant sources of persistent pesticides from land runoff or percolation	X	
5) Spill records for petroleum products or designated (Section 311 of Clean Water Act) hazardous substances	X	
6) Other public records of significant introduction of contaminants from industries, municipalities or other sources	X	
7) Known existence of substantial material deposits of substances which could be released in harmful quantities to the aquatic environment by man-induced discharge activities	X	
<b>3. Evaluation of Dredged or Fill Material (Subpart G) (continued)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
b. An evaluation of the appropriate information in 3a above indicates that there is reason to believe the proposed dredged or fill material is not a carrier of contaminants, or that levels of contaminants are substantively similar at extraction and placement sites and not likely to degrade the placement sites, or the material meets the testing exclusion criteria.	X	

<b>4. Placement Site Delineation (230.11(f))</b>		
a. The following factors as appropriate, have been considered in evaluating the placement site:		
1) Depth of water at placement site	X	
2) Current velocity, direction, and variability at placement site	X	
3) Degree of turbulence	X	
4) Water column stratification	X	
5) Discharge vessel speed and direction	X	
6) Rate of discharge	X	
7) Fill material characteristics (constituents, amount, and type of material, settling velocities)	X	
8) Number of discharges per unit of time	X	
9) Other factors affecting rates and patterns of mixing (specify)	X	
<b>4. Placement Site Delineation (230.11(f)) (continued)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
b. An evaluation of the appropriate factors in 4a above indicates that the placement site and/or size of mixing zone are acceptable.	X	

5. Actions to Minimize Adverse Effects (Subpart H)	Yes	No
All appropriate and practicable steps have been taken, through application of recommendations of 230.70-230.77 to ensure minimal adverse effects of the proposed discharge.	X	

List actions taken:

6. Factual Determination (230.11)	Yes	No*
A review of appropriate information as identified in items 2-5 above indicates that there is minimal potential for short- or long-term environmental effects of the proposed discharge as related to:	X	
a. Physical substrate at the placement site (review Sections 2a, 3, 4, and 5 above)	X	
b. Water circulation, fluctuation and salinity (review Sections 2a, 3, 4, and 5)	X	
c. Suspended particulates/turbidity (review Sections 2a, 3, 4, and 5)	X	
d. Contaminant availability (review Sections 2a, 3, and 4)	X	
e. Aquatic ecosystem structure and function (review Sections 2b and c, 3, and 5)	X	
f. Placement site (review Sections 2, 4, and 5)	X	
g. Cumulative impacts on the aquatic ecosystem	X	
h. Secondary impacts on the aquatic ecosystem	X	

7. Evaluation Responsibility
a. This evaluation was prepared by: Position: <b>Christopher B. Ford</b> Biologist - Regional Planning and Environmental Center

8. Findings (Select One)	Yes
a. The proposed placement site for discharge of or fill material complies with the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines.	X
b. The proposed placement site for discharge of dredged or fill material complies with the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines with the inclusion of the following conditions: N/A	X
c. The proposed placement site for discharge of dredged or fill material does not comply with the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines for the following reason(s): 1) There is a less damaging practicable alternative 2) The proposed discharge will result in significant degradation of the aquatic ecosystem 3) The proposed discharge does not include all practicable and appropriate measures to minimize potential harm to the aquatic ecosystem	
17-APRIL-2024 Date	Brandon Wadlington Chief, Environmental Branch