

---

## 1 **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

2	<b>Executive Summary .....</b>	ES-1
3	<b>Section 1.0: Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action .....</b>	1-1
4	1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
5	1.2 Purpose and Need .....	1-2
6	1.3 Background.....	1-2
7	1.4 Scope .....	1-9
8	1.5 Public Participation.....	1-10
9	1.6 Regulatory Framework .....	1-11
10	<b>Section 2.0: Description of the Proposed Action and Alternatives.....</b>	2-1
11	2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
12	2.2 The Proposed Action .....	2-1
13	2.2.1 Project Site Overview.....	2-2
14	2.2.2 Shoreline Allocations .....	2-4
15	2.2.3 Alternative Identification Process .....	2-5
16	2.3 Elements Considered But Not Carried Forward .....	2-7
17	2.4 Alternatives Analyzed .....	2-8
18	2.4.1 Alternative 1: No Action Alternative .....	2-8
19	2.4.2 Alternative 2: Preferred Alternative, Approval of Rezoning Requests Meeting the 80 Percent Criteria.....	2-10
20	2.4.3 Alternative 3: No Growth Alternative.....	2-15
21	2.4.4 Alternative 4: Approval of Rezoning Requests Meeting the 90 Percent Criteria.....	2-15
22	2.4.5 Alternative 5: Maximum Modification .....	2-18
23	<b>Section 3.0: Affected Environment.....</b>	3-1
24	3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
25	3.1.1 Regional Geographic Setting and Location.....	3-1
26	3.1.2 Climate .....	3-3
27	3.2 Greers Ferry Lake Watershed .....	3-3
28	3.2.1 Watershed Characterization .....	3-3
29	3.2.1.1 Location and Description.....	3-3
30	3.2.1.2 Greers Ferry Lake .....	3-5

1	3.2.1.3 Tributaries.....	3-5
2	3.2.1.4 Topography.....	3-7
3	3.2.1.5 Flows and Exchanges .....	3-7
4	3.2.2 Hydrogeology/Groundwater.....	3-9
5	3.2.3 Water Quality .....	3-13
6	3.2.3.1 Pollutant Loads to the Lake .....	3-13
7	3.2.3.2 In-lake Water Quality .....	3-19
8	3.3 Land Use, Land Cover, and Land Use Controls .....	3-24
9	3.3.1 Land Use/Land Cover .....	3-25
10	3.3.1.1 Greers Ferry Lake Shoreline.....	3-25
11	3.3.1.2 Adjacent Private Land .....	3-31
12	3.3.1.3 Watershed Land Use.....	3-31
13	3.3.2 Land Use Controls.....	3-32
14	3.3.2.1 Greers Ferry Lake Project Land.....	3-32
15	3.3.2.2 Adjacent Private Land .....	3-35
16	3.3.2.3 Watershed Land .....	3-35
17	3.3.3 Past, Current, and Future Development in the Region of Influence .....	3-36
18	3.4 Infrastructure.....	3-41
19	3.4.1 Shoreline Structures .....	3-41
20	3.4.2 Traffic and Transportation .....	3-41
21	3.4.3 Water Supply.....	3-42
22	3.4.4 Wastewater Treatment.....	3-42
23	3.4.5 Septic Tanks .....	3-43
24	3.4.6 Safety.....	3-45
25	3.5 Socioeconomics .....	3-47
26	3.5.1 Economic Development .....	3-47
27	3.5.2 Demographics .....	3-50
28	3.5.3 Housing .....	3-51
29	3.5.4 Quality of Life.....	3-51
30	3.5.5 Environmental Justice .....	3-53
31	3.5.6 Protection of Children .....	3-54
32	3.6 Visual and Aesthetic Resources.....	3-55
33	3.6.1 Greers Ferry Lake.....	3-56
34	3.6.2 Scenic Attractiveness .....	3-57

1	3.6.3 Scenic Integrity .....	3-59
2	3.6.4 Landscape Visibility.....	3-64
3	3.6.5 Visual Resource Concerns .....	3-73
4	3.7 Recreation and Recreational Facilities .....	3-74
5	3.8 Geology.....	3-78
6	3.8.1 Topography .....	3-78
7	3.8.2 Physiography.....	3-79
8	3.8.3 Structure and Stratigraphy.....	3-80
9	3.8.4 Mineral Resources.....	3-81
10	3.8.5 Seismicity .....	3-81
11	3.8.6 Soils.....	3-81
12	3.9 Ecological Systems.....	3-89
13	3.9.1 Vegetative Communities .....	3-89
14	3.9.2 Wildlife .....	3-91
15	3.9.3 Threatened and Endangered Species.....	3-97
16	3.9.3.1 Federally Listed Species .....	3-97
17	3.9.3.2 Candidate Species for Federal Listing .....	3-101
18	3.9.4 Sensitive Habitats.....	3-101
19	3.10 Cultural Resources.....	3-103
20	3.10.1 Prehistoric Period Resources.....	3-104
21	3.10.2 Historic Period Resources .....	3-106
22	3.10.3 Historic Architectural Resources .....	3-107
23	3.10.4 American Indian Resources .....	3-108
24	3.11 Air Quality .....	3-108
25	3.12 Hazardous and Toxic Substances .....	3-109
26	3.13 Noise .....	3-109
27	<b>Section 4.0:</b> Environmental and Socioeconomic Consequences .....	4-1
28	4.1 Introduction.....	4-1
29	4.1.1 Methodology for Analyzing Alternatives.....	4-9
30	4.1.2 Methodology and Assumptions for Analyzing Selected Resource Areas and	
31	Conditions .....	4-11
32	4.1.2.1 Greers Ferry Lake Watershed .....	4-11
33	4.1.2.2 Socioeconomic Conditions .....	4-14
34	4.1.2.3 Visual and Aesthetic Resources.....	4-15

1	4.2 Alternative 1: No Action Alternative (1994 SMP).....	4-17
2	4.2.1 Introduction .....	4-17
3	4.2.2 Greers Ferry Lake Watershed .....	4-18
4	4.2.2.1 Hydrogeology/Groundwater .....	4-18
5	4.2.2.2 Water Quality.....	4-18
6	4.2.2.2.1 Effects of Land Use Alteration on Watershed Loading .....	4-18
7	4.2.2.2.2 Effects of Additional Boats and Boating Activity on Water Quality in	
8	the Lake.....	4-23
9	4.2.2.2.3 Effects of Additional Watershed Loadings on In-Lake Water	
10	Quality .....	4-24
11	4.2.3 Land Use, Land Cover, and Land Use Controls.....	4-24
12	4.2.3.1 Greers Ferry Lake Shoreline.....	4-24
13	4.2.3.2 Adjacent Private Land .....	4-25
14	4.2.3.3 Watershed Land Use.....	4-26
15	4.2.4 Infrastructure .....	4-26
16	4.2.5 Socioeconomic Conditions.....	4-27
17	4.2.5.1 Economic Development.....	4-27
18	4.2.5.2 Environmental Justice.....	4-28
19	4.2.5.3 Protection of Children.....	4-29
20	4.2.6 Visual and Aesthetic Resources .....	4-29
21	4.2.6.1 Scenic Attractiveness.....	4-29
22	4.2.6.2 Scenic Integrity .....	4-30
23	4.2.6.3 Landscape Visibility .....	4-30
24	4.2.7 Recreation and Recreational Facilities .....	4-37
25	4.2.8 Geology and Soils .....	4-38
26	4.2.9 Ecological Systems .....	4-38
27	4.2.10 Cultural Resources .....	4-41
28	4.2.11 Air Quality .....	4-41
29	4.2.12 Hazardous and Toxic Substances .....	4-42
30	4.2.13 Noise .....	4-42
31	4.2.14 Summary of Effects Under Alternative 1, the No Action Alternative .....	4-43
32	4.2.15 Mitigation Measures for Alternative 1, the No Action Alternative.....	4-44
33	4.3 Alternative 2: Preferred Alternative, Approval of Rezoning Requests Meeting the 80	
34	Percent Criteria.....	4-45
35	4.3.1 Introduction .....	4-45

1	4.3.2 Greers Ferry Lake Watershed .....	4-46
2	4.3.2.1 Hydrogeology/Groundwater .....	4-46
3	4.3.2.2 Water Quality.....	4-46
4	4.3.2.2.1 Effects of Land Use Alteration on Watershed Loading .....	4-46
5	4.3.2.2.2 Effects of Additional Boats and Boating Activity on Water Quality in	
6	the Lake.....	4-51
7	4.3.2.2.3 Effects of Additional Watershed Loadings on In-Lake Water	
8	Quality .....	4-52
9	4.3.3 Land Use, Land Cover, and Land Use Controls.....	4-52
10	4.3.3.1 Greers Ferry Lake Shoreline.....	4-52
11	4.3.3.2 Adjacent Private Land .....	4-53
12	4.3.3.3 Watershed Land Use.....	4-54
13	4.3.4 Infrastructure .....	4-55
14	4.3.5 Socioeconomic Conditions.....	4-56
15	4.3.5.1 Economic Development.....	4-56
16	4.3.5.2 Environmental Justice.....	4-57
17	4.3.5.3 Protection of Children.....	4-57
18	4.3.6 Visual and Aesthetic Resources .....	4-57
19	4.3.6.1 Scenic Attractiveness.....	4-58
20	4.3.6.2 Scenic Integrity .....	4-58
21	4.3.6.3 Landscape Visibility .....	4-59
22	4.3.7 Recreation and Recreational Facilities .....	4-67
23	4.3.8 Geology and Soils .....	4-67
24	4.3.9 Ecological Systems .....	4-68
25	4.3.10 Cultural Resources .....	4-71
26	4.3.11 Air Quality .....	4-72
27	4.3.12 Hazardous and Toxic Substances .....	4-72
28	4.3.13 Noise .....	4-73
29	4.3.14 Summary of Effects Under Alternative 2, the Preferred Alternative .....	4-73
30	4.3.15 Mitigation Measures for Alternative 2, the Preferred Alternative .....	4-75
31	4.4 Alternative 3: No Growth .....	4-76
32	4.4.1 Introduction .....	4-76
33	4.4.2 Greers Ferry Lake Watershed .....	4-76
34	4.4.2.1 Hydrogeology/Groundwater .....	4-76

1	4.4.2.2 Water Quality.....	4-77
2	4.4.2.2.1 Effects of Land Use Alteration on Watershed Loading .....	4-77
3	4.4.2.2.2 Effects of Additional Boats and Boating Activity on Water Quality in	
4	the Lake.....	4-77
5	4.4.2.2.3 Effects of Additional Watershed Loadings on In-Lake Water	
6	Quality .....	4-77
7	4.4.3 Land Use, Land Cover, and Land Use Controls.....	4-77
8	4.4.3.1 Greers Ferry Lake Shoreline.....	4-77
9	4.4.3.2 Adjacent Private Land .....	4-77
10	4.4.3.3 Watershed Land Use.....	4-78
11	4.4.4 Infrastructure .....	4-79
12	4.4.5 Socioeconomic Conditions.....	4-79
13	4.4.5.1 Economic Development.....	4-79
14	4.4.5.2 Environmental Justice.....	4-79
15	4.4.5.3 Protection of Children.....	4-79
16	4.4.6 Visual and Aesthetic Resources .....	4-80
17	4.4.6.1 Scenic Attractiveness.....	4-80
18	4.4.6.2 Scenic Integrity.....	4-80
19	4.4.6.3 Landscape Visibility .....	4-81
20	4.4.7 Recreation and Recreational Facilities .....	4-81
21	4.4.8 Geology and Soils .....	4-82
22	4.4.9 Ecological Systems .....	4-82
23	4.4.10 Cultural Resources .....	4-82
24	4.4.11 Air Quality .....	4-83
25	4.4.12 Hazardous and Toxic Substances .....	4-83
26	4.4.13 Noise .....	4-83
27	4.4.14 Summary of Effects Under Alternative 3, the No Growth Alternative.....	4-84
28	4.4.15 Mitigation Measures for Alternative 3, the No Growth Alternative .....	4-85
29	4.5 Alternative 4: Approval of Rezoning Requests Meeting the 90 Percent Criteria.....	4-85
30	4.5.1 Introduction .....	4-85
31	4.5.2 Greers Ferry Lake Watershed .....	4-85
32	4.5.2.1 Hydrogeology/Groundwater .....	4-85
33	4.5.2.2 Water Quality.....	4-86
34	4.5.2.2.1 Effects of Land Use Alteration on Watershed Loading .....	4-86

---

1	4.5.2.2 Effects of Additional Boats and Boating Activity on Water Quality in the Lake.....	4-91
3	4.5.2.3 Effects of Additional Watershed Loadings on In-Lake Water Quality .....	4-91
5	4.5.3 Land Use, Land Cover, and Land Use Controls.....	4-92
6	4.5.3.1 Greers Ferry Lake Shoreline.....	4-92
7	4.5.3.2 Adjacent Private Land .....	4-93
8	4.5.3.3 Watershed Land Use.....	4-94
9	4.5.4 Infrastructure .....	4-94
10	4.5.5 Socioeconomic Conditions.....	4-95
11	4.5.5.1 Economic Development.....	4-95
12	4.5.5.2 Environmental Justice.....	4-96
13	4.5.5.3 Protection of Children.....	4-96
14	4.5.6 Visual and Aesthetic Resources .....	4-96
15	4.5.6.1 Scenic Attractiveness.....	4-96
16	4.5.6.2 Scenic Integrity .....	4-97
17	4.5.6.3 Landscape Visibility .....	4-98
18	4.5.7 Recreation and Recreational Facilities .....	4-101
19	4.5.8 Geology and Soils .....	4-105
20	4.5.9 Ecological Systems .....	4-106
21	4.5.10 Cultural Resources .....	4-107
22	4.5.11 Air Quality .....	4-108
23	4.5.12 Hazardous and Toxic Substances .....	4-108
24	4.5.13 Noise .....	4-109
25	4.5.14 Summary of Effects Under Alternative 4, the 90 Percent Rezoning Criteria Alternative .....	4-110
26	4.5.15 Mitigation Measures for Alternative 4, the 90 Percent Rezoning Criteria Alternative.....	4-110
29	4.6 Alternative 5: Maximum Modification.....	4-112
30	4.6.1 Introduction .....	4-112
31	4.6.2 Greers Ferry Lake Watershed .....	4-112
32	4.6.2.1 Hydrogeology/Groundwater .....	4-112
33	4.6.2.2 Water Quality.....	4-113
34	4.6.2.2.1 Effects of Land Use Alteration on Watershed Loading .....	4-113

---

1	4.6.2.2 Effects of Additional Boats and Boating Activity on Water Quality in the Lake.....	4-118
3	4.6.2.3 Effects of Additional Watershed Loadings on In-Lake Water Quality .....	4-119
5	4.6.3 Land Use, Land Cover, and Land Use Controls.....	4-119
6	4.6.3.1 Greers Ferry Lake Shoreline.....	4-119
7	4.6.3.2 Adjacent Private Land .....	4-120
8	4.6.3.3 Watershed Land Use.....	4-121
9	4.6.4 Infrastructure .....	4-122
10	4.6.5 Socioeconomic Conditions.....	4-123
11	4.6.5.1 Economic Development.....	4-123
12	4.6.5.2 Environmental Justice.....	4-124
13	4.6.5.3 Protection of Children.....	4-124
14	4.6.6 Visual and Aesthetic Resources .....	4-124
15	4.6.6.1 Scenic Attractiveness.....	4-124
16	4.6.6.2 Scenic Integrity .....	4-125
17	4.6.6.3 Landscape Visibility .....	4-126
18	4.6.7 Recreation and Recreational Facilities .....	4-129
19	4.6.8 Geology and Soils .....	4-134
20	4.6.9 Ecological Systems .....	4-134
21	4.6.10 Cultural Resources .....	4-137
22	4.6.11 Air Quality .....	4-137
23	4.6.12 Hazardous and Toxic Substances .....	4-138
24	4.6.13 Noise .....	4-138
25	4.6.14 Summary of Effects Under Alternative 5, the Maximum Modification Alternative.....	4-139
26	4.6.15 Mitigation Measures for Alternative 5, the Maximum Modification Alternative.....	4-141
27	4.7 Cumulative Effects .....	4-142
28	4.7.1 Introduction .....	4-142
29	4.7.2 Greers Ferry Lake Watershed .....	4-143
30	4.7.3 Land Use, Land Cover, and Land Use Controls.....	4-144
31	4.7.4 Infrastructure .....	4-144
32	4.7.5 Socioeconomic Conditions.....	4-145
33	4.7.6 Visual and Aesthetic Resources .....	4-145

1	4.7.7 Recreation and Recreational Facilities .....	4-145
2	4.7.8 Geology and Soils .....	4-146
3	4.7.9 Ecological Systems .....	4-146
4	4.7.10 Cultural Resources .....	4-147
5	4.7.11 Air Quality .....	4-148
6	4.7.12 Hazardous and Toxic Substances .....	4-148
7	4.7.13 Noise .....	4-149
8	4.8 Mitigation Summary .....	4-149
9	4.8.1 Introduction .....	4-149
10	4.8.2 Greers Ferry Watershed .....	4-149
11	4.8.3 Land Use, Land Cover, and Land Use Controls.....	4-149
12	4.8.4 Infrastructure .....	4-149
13	4.8.5 Socioeconomic Conditions.....	4-150
14	4.8.6 Visual and Aesthetic Resources .....	4-150
15	4.8.7 Recreation and Recreational Facilities .....	4-150
16	4.8.8 Geology and Soils .....	4-150
17	4.8.9 Ecological Systems .....	4-151
18	4.8.10 Cultural Resources .....	4-151
19	4.8.11 Air Quality .....	4-152
20	4.8.12 Hazardous and Toxic Substances .....	4-152
21	4.8.13 Noise .....	4-152
22	4.9 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Effects.....	4-152
23	4.10 Irreversible or Irretrievable Commitments of Resources.....	4-154
24	4.11 Short-term Uses of Man's Environment and Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-	
25	term Productivity .....	4-155
26	4.12 Summary of the Environmental and Socioeconomic Consequences.....	4-155
27	<b>Section 5.0:</b> List of Preparers.....	5-1
28	<b>Section 6.0:</b> References.....	6-1
29	<b>Section 7.0:</b> Persons Consulted.....	7-1
30	<b>Section 8.0:</b> Glossary .....	8-1
31	<b>Section 9.0:</b> Distribution List.....	9-1

---

1	<b>APPENDICES</b>	
2	Appendix A: 1999 Rezoning Request Evaluation Criteria.....	A-1
3	Appendix B: Greers Ferry Lake 1994 Shoreline Management Plan.....	B-1
4	Appendix C: REMI Model .....	C-1
5	Appendix D: Scoping Report for the Greers Ferry Lake Environmental Impact Statement.....	D-1
6	Appendix E: Draft SMP Proposed to be Implemented .....	E-1
7	Appendix F: Water Quality Analysis Methodology, Assumptions, and Data.....	F-1
8	Appendix G: Agencies and Persons Consulted.....	G-1
9	Appendix H: Arkansas Audubon Society 1998 Field List .....	H-1
10	Appendix I: Acronyms and Abbreviations (fold-out page at end of document) .....	I-1
11		
12	<b>TABLES</b>	
13	Table ES-1 Comparison of Alternatives .....	ES-17
14	Table ES-2 Alternative Impacts Comparison Summary .....	ES-25
15	Table 1-1: Relevant Statutory Authorities.....	1-13
16	Table 2-1: Current Shoreline Allocations .....	2-5
17	Table 2-2: Alternatives.....	2-9
18	Table 2-3: Existing 5-Year and Potential Number of Docks Under Each Alternative.....	2-10
19	Table 3-1: Greers Ferry Lake Watershed Land Use Distribution by Zone .....	3-14
20	Table 3-2: Land Use and Percent Imperviousness .....	3-15
21	Table 3-3: Annual Average Loads by Zone .....	3-16
22	Table 3-4: Point Source Discharge Locations .....	3-18
23	Table 3-5: Water Quality Stations in the Little Red Watershed.....	3-20
24	Table 3-6: Water Quality Standards for the Greers Ferry Lake Watershed .....	3-21
25	Table 3-7: Agencies Contacted. ....	3-37
26	Table 3-8: Building Permit Comparison, City of Heber Springs .....	3-39
27	Table 3-9: Water Withdrawals at Greers Ferry Lake .....	3-42
28	Table 3-10: Recent Accident Statistics for Greers Ferry Lake.....	3-45
29	Table 3-11: Greers Ferry Lake ROI Employment by Industry .....	3-49
30	Table 3-12: Impact of Travel and Tourism on the Greers Ferry Lake ROI .....	3-49
31	Table 3-13: Unemployment in the Greers Ferry Lake ROI .....	3-50
32	Table 3-14: Population Changes for the ROI and Arkansas .....	3-50
33	Table 3-15: Selected Population Characteristics for the ROI .....	3-51

---

1	Table 3-16: Selected Housing Characteristics for the ROI .....	3-52
2	Table 3-17: Race, Ethnicity, and Poverty Status for the ROI, Arkansas, and the	
3	United States for the Year 2000.....	3-54
4	Table 3-18: Scenic Attractiveness Class Definitions .....	3-58
5	Table 3-19: Scenic Attractiveness of Locations/Sites by Shoreline Category	
6	(Water-Based Visual Landscape Assessments).....	3-58
7	Table 3-20: Scenic Attractiveness of Locations/Sites by Shoreline Category	
8	(Land-Based Visual Landscape Assessments) .....	3-59
9	Table 3-21: Scenic Integrity Definitions .....	3-63
10	Table 3-22: Scenic Integrity of Locations/Sites by Shoreline Category	
11	(Water-Based Visual Landscape Assessments) .....	3-64
12	Table 3-23: Scenic Integrity of Locations/Sites by Shoreline Category	
13	(Land-Based Visual Landscape Assessments) .....	3-64
14	Table 3-24: Acreage of Lake from which Boat Docks are Clearly Visible .....	3-70
15	Table 3-25: Visitation Statistics for Greers Ferry Lake .....	3-74
16	Table 3-26: Boats Counted in Lake Zones, Summer 2001 .....	3-76
17	Table 3-27: Greers Ferry Lake Parks and Park Facilities.....	3-77
18	Table 3-28: History of Number of Permitted Boat Docks at Greers Ferry Lake .....	3-78
19	Table 3-29: Current and Potential Recreational Use of Greers Ferry Lake .....	3-79
20	Table 3-30: Soils Occurring in the Greers Ferry Lake Study Area .....	3-83
21	Table 3-31: Common Plant Species in the Vicinity of Greers Ferry Lake .....	3-90
22	Table 3-32: Common Wildlife Species in the Vicinity of Greers Ferry Lake .....	3-93
23	Table 3-33: Fish Species Reported from the Greers Ferry Lake Watershed.....	3-94
24	Table 3-34: Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species in the Vicinity of Greers Ferry Lake	3-102
25	Table 3-35: Standing Structures on the NRHP Within the Project Area .....	3-104
26	Table 3-36: Sound Levels of Various Sources .....	3-111
27	Table 3-37: Examples of Outdoor Day-Night Average Sound Levels.....	3-111
28	Table 4-1 Shoreline Management Plan Elements .....	4-2
29	Table 4-2 Alternatives.....	4-3
30	Table 4-3 Principles of Cumulative Effects Analysis .....	4-5
31	Table 4-4 Existing 5-Year and Potential Number of Docks Under Each Alternative.....	4-10
32	Table 4-5 Upper and Lower Lake Calculations .....	4-13
33	Table 4-6 Alteration to Watershed Conditions Under the No Action Alternative .....	4-19

1	Table 4-7 Additional Loadings for TP, TN, TSS, BOD, and FC for Each of the Lake Sections and the Upper Watershed Under the No Action Alternative .....	4-21
2		
3	Table 4-8 In-Lake Water Quality Under Alternative 1 (No Action Alternative).....	4-25
4		
5	Table 4-9 Acreage of Lake From Which Boat Docks are Clearly Visible: Alternative 1: No Action Alternative, and No Action Plus Existing Boat Docks .....	4-33
6		
7	Table 4-10 Environmental Effects Summary for Alternative 1, the No Action Alternative.....	4-44
8		
9	Table 4-11 Alteration to Watershed Conditions Under Alternative 2: The Preferred Alternative .....	4-48
10		
11	Table 4-12 Additional Loadings for TP, TN, TSS, BOD, and FC for Each of the Lake Sections and the Upper Watershed Under the Preferred Alternative .....	4-49
12		
13	Table 4-13 In Lake Water Quality Under Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative) .....	4-53
14		
15	Table 4-14 Acreage of Lake From Which Boat Docks Are Clearly Visible: Alternative 2: Preferred Alternative, and Preferred Alternative Plus Existing Boat Docks .....	4-63
16		
17	Table 4-15 Environmental Effects Summary for Alternative 2, the Preferred Alternative.....	4-74
18		
19	Table 4-16 Acreage of Lake From Which Boat Docks Are Clearly Visible: Alternative 3 (No Growth).....	4-81
20		
21	Table 4-17 Environmental Effects Summary for Alternative 3, the No Growth Alternative.....	4-84
22		
23	Table 4-18 Alteration to Watershed Conditions Under Alternative 4 (90 Percent Rezoning Criteria Alternative).....	4-87
24		
25	Table 4-19 Additional Loadings for TP, TN, TSS, BOD, and FC for Each of the Lake Sections and the Upper Watershed Under Alternative 2 (Approval of Rezoning Requests Meeting the 90 Percent Criteria).....	4-88
26		
27	Table 4-20 In-Lake Water Quality Under Alternative 4 (90 Percent Rezoning Criteria) .....	4-92
28		
29	Table 4-21 Acreage of Lake From Which Boat Docks Are Clearly Visible: Alternative 4 (90 Percent Criteria), and Alternative 4 Plus Existing Boat Docks .....	4-101
30		
31	Table 4-22 Environmental Effects Summary for Alternative 4, the 90 Percent Rezoning Criteria Alternative .....	4-111
32		
33	Table 4-23 Alteration to Watershed Conditions Under Alternative 5 (Maximum Modification) .....	4-114
34		
35	Table 4-24 Additional Loadings for TP, TN, TSS, BOD, and FC for Each of the Lake Sections and the Upper Watershed Under Alternative 3 (Maximum Modification) .....	4-116
36		
37	Table 4-25 In-Lake Water Quality Under Alternative 5 (Maximum Modification) .....	4-120
38		
39	Table 4-26 Acreage of Lake From Which Boat Docks Are Clearly Visible: Alternative 5 (Maximum Modification), and Alternative 5 Plus Existing Boat Docks.....	4-129
40		
41	Table 4-27 Environmental Effects Summary for Alternative 5, the Maximum Modification Alternative .....	4-140
42		
43	Table 4-28 Comparison of Alternatives .....	4-157
44		
45	Table 4-29 Alternative Impacts Comparison Summary .....	4-165

---

**FIGURES**

Figure 1-1: Project Location .....	1-3
Figure 1-2: Greers Ferry Lake Aerial Photograph .....	1-5
Figure 2-1: No Action Alternative (#1).....	2-11
Figure 2-2: Vegetation Modification Restrictions.....	2-12
Figure 2-3: Maximum Potential Buildout of the No Action Alternative .....	2-13
Figure 2-4: Preferred Alternative (#2).....	2-14
Figure 2-5: No Growth Alternative (#3) .....	2-16
Figure 2-6: 90% Rezoning Criteria Alternative (#4).....	2-17
Figure 2-7: Maximum Modification Alternative (#5).....	2-19
Figure 3-1: Little Red River Watershed.....	3-4
Figure 3-2: Surface Water Features .....	3-6
Figure 3-3: Slope Distribution Along Greers Ferry Lake Shoreline .....	3-8
Figure 3-4: Geologic Cross Section .....	3-10
Figure 3-5: Groundwater Wells.....	3-12
Figure 3-6: Greers Ferry Lake Shoreline Allocation.....	3-27
Figure 3-7: Recreational Facilities .....	3-30
Figure 3-8: Land Use in the Greers Ferry Lake Watershed .....	3-33
Figure 3-9: Potential Septic Tank Problem Areas.....	3-44
Figure 3-10: Distinctive Scenic Attractiveness .....	3-60
Figure 3-11: Typical Scenic Attractiveness .....	3-61
Figure 3-12: Indistinctive Scenic Attractiveness.....	3-62
Figure 3-13: Very High Scenic Integrity.....	3-65
Figure 3-14: High Scenic Integrity.....	3-66
Figure 3-15: Moderate Scenic Integrity .....	3-67
Figure 3-16: Low Scenic Integrity .....	3-68
Figure 3-17: Very Low Scenic Integrity .....	3-69
Figure 3-18: Existing Boat Dock Viewsheds .....	3-71
Figure 3-19: Number of Boat Docks, 1968-2001.....	3-73
Figure 3-20: Lake Area Designations .....	3-76
Figure 3-21: Soils of the Greers Ferry Lake Study Area.....	3-86
Figure 3-22: Highly Erodible Soils .....	3-87
Figure 3-23: Wetlands.....	3-92
Figure 3-24: Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species .....	3-98

---

---

1	Figure 3-25: Chart for Estimating Community Complaint reaction to Noise .....	3-113
2	Figure 4-1: Potential Boat Dock Viewsheds Under the No Action Alternative (#1) .....	4-31
3	Figure 4-2: Potential and Existing Boat Dock Viewsheds Under the No Action Alternative (#1).....	4-35
5	Figure 4-3: Potential Boat Dock Viewsheds Under the Preferred Alternative (#2).....	4-61
6	Figure 4-4: Potential and Existing Boat Dock Viewsheds Under the Preferred Alternative (#2).....	4-65
8	Figure 4-5: Potential Boat Dock Viewsheds Under the 90% Rezoning Criteria Alternative (#4).....	4-99
10	Figure 4-6: Potential and Existing Boat Dock Viewsheds Under the 90% Rezoning Criteria Alternative (#4) .....	4-103
12	Figure 4-7: Potential Boat Dock Viewsheds Under the Maximum Modification Alternative (#5).....	4-127
14	Figure 4-8: Potential and Existing Boat Dock Viewsheds Under the Maximum Modification Alternative (#5).....	4-131
16		