

Table Rock Lake Master Plan Revision



There are several alternatives under consideration for the Table Rock Master Plan Revision. Each of these alternatives and its potential impacts are summarized below. For more information, please visit: <http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/Missions/Planning/TableRockMasterPlanUpdate.aspx>.

Alternative 1 – No Action

Under the No Action Alternative, the land use classifications would stay the same and none of the 19,536 acres of land around the lake would be reclassified. The No Action Alternative would maintain the existing resource management practices, no shoreline areas would be designated as Vegetative Management, and there would be no revisions made to respond to changed conditions.

Alternative 2 – Balanced Use (Preferred Alternative)

Alternative 2 decreases the amount of Low Density Recreation and increases the acres classified as Environmentally Sensitive and Wildlife Management. The lands that currently have no classification would primarily become Environmentally Sensitive and the lands received from the US Forest Service in the Cow Creek area would become primarily Wildlife Management. Project Operations lands would be reduced by about 160 acres. A 50-foot Vegetative Management classification would be added along many shoreline areas and would overlay other land classifications.

Alternative 2a – Slow Growth

Similar to Alternative 2, but would classify 232 acres near existing subdivisions to Low Density Recreation rather than to the Environmentally Sensitive land classification.

Alternative 2b – Maintain High Density

Similar to Alternative 2, but would maintain 74 acres as High Density Recreation for potential future development (33 acres would not be classified as Low Density Recreation and 41 acres would not be classified as Wildlife Management as proposed under Alternative 2).

Alternative 2c – No New High Density

Similar to Alternative 2, but would reduce High Density Recreation by 95 acres (94 acres would be classified as Low Density Recreation and 1 acre as Environmentally Sensitive). Existing destination resorts would not be able to expand.

Alternative 2d – No Vegetative Management Area

Similar to Alternative 2, but would not include the proposed 50-foot Vegetative Management area around the lakeshore.

Alternative 3 – Conservative

Alternative 3 would reduce High Density Recreation lands by 78 acres and would reclassify all Low Density Recreation lands to Environmentally Sensitive (to total 14,146 acres). A Vegetative Management overlay would not be necessary as the Environmentally Sensitive classification provides similar protection. Existing permitted shoreline uses would be grandfathered but there would be no new permits issued.

Alternative 4 – Extreme Development

Alternative 4 would reclassify all Environmentally Sensitive Areas from Alternative 3 to Low Density Recreation, resulting in over 14,000 acres classified for potential development and only a small portion of this area (approximately 4,000 acres) would have a Vegetative Management overlay.



Comparison of Land Allocations by Alternative (percent)

Land Classification	Alternative (percent of total land by allocation)							
	1	2	2a	2b	2c	2d	3	4
High Density Recreation	10%	10%	10%	11%	10%	10%	10%	10%
Low Density Recreation	40%	37%	38%	37%	37%	37%	0%	72%
Environmentally Sensitive	24%	35%	34%	35%	35%	35%	72%	0%
Project Operations	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Wildlife Management	1%	17%	17%	16%	17%	17%	17%	17%
Vegetative Management *	0%	21%	21%	21%	21%	0%	0%	20%
No Allocation	23%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

* The Vegetative Management classification is overlaid onto other land classifications so that the total is greater than 100%.

Potential Environmental Effects

Resource Category	Alternative							
	1	2	2a	2b	2c	2d	3	4
Climate, Topography, Geology and Soils	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
Aquatic Resources	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
Terrestrial Resources & Land Use	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
Threatened & Endangered Species	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
Archeology & Historic Resources	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
Air Quality	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
Socio-Economics	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
Recreation	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
Health & Safety	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
Aesthetics	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●

● Beneficial Effect
 ● No Significant Effect
 ● Adverse Effect