

# Welcome

to the

## Norfolk Lake

### Master Plan Revision

### Workshop

- ✓ At the workshop you can:
  - Learn about the project
  - Provide comments on the Draft Master Plan and Draft Environmental Assessment (EA)
- ✓ Provide comments by:
  - Completing a comment form
  - Speaking with a court reporter
  - Sending an email, letter, or fax to USACE
- ✓ Find the Draft Master Plan and Draft EA at:  
<https://go.usa.gov/x7bXY>

**WE WANT TO  
HEAR FROM YOU!**



# How to Comment

Comments are due by October 29, 2021

Please return your comment sheet in the  
comment box before leaving

or

mail, email, or fax comments to:

Norfolk Lake MP Planner, Natural Resources Section

USACE, Little Rock District

P.O. Box 867

Little Rock, AR 72203

**Fax:** (501) 324-6230

**Email:** [CESWL-NorfolkMPUpdate@usace.army.mil](mailto:CESWL-NorfolkMPUpdate@usace.army.mil)

or

Speak to the court reporter

**For more information:** <https://go.usa.gov/x7bXY>

Comments must be postmarked,  
e-mailed, faxed, or hand delivered  
by October 29, 2021



# Norfolk Lake Master Plan Environmental Assessment

The Little Rock District is updating  
the Norfolk Lake Master Plan.

The district has prepared a draft Environmental  
Assessment (EA) to evaluate the potential environmental  
and social effects of several master plan alternatives.

**Your input is important!**

Your input will help define the final Norfolk Lake Master  
Plan and EA scheduled for completion in early 2022

**Please let us know:**



- ✓ Which of the three alternatives do you prefer and why?
- ✓ Were all of the environmental resources and potential  
impacts discussed?
- ✓ What changes, if any, would you like to see in the final  
documents?



# Why Revise the Master Plan?

- The current plan was developed in 1988
- Customer uses have remained similar, but trends, facility and service demands have shifted in the past 30 years
- To align with current Corps policies/regulations
- Use of new technology and maps for greater accuracy and efficiency
- Respond to changing land usage
- Balance resources with partner and stakeholder interests
- Proactively prepare for resource demands
- Sustainably manage the lake's resources for future generations





## **Alternative 1 – Increase Preservation**

- High and low density classified lands decrease from Alternative 3 – No Action
- Increase in Environmentally Sensitive Areas compared to alternatives 2 & 3
- Currently unclassified lands would be allocated to one of the five classifications
- Maximizes the protection of natural resources

## **Alternative 2 - Increase Conservation (Preferred Alternative)**

- Reflects the actual current land uses and management around the lake
- Lands classified as High Density and Low Density Recreation decrease from Alternative 3 – No action
- Lands classified as Environmentally Sensitive Area and Wildlife Management increase
- Unclassified lands are classified into one of the five classifications

# Alternative 3 – No Action

- Land classifications would stay the same as in the 1988 Master Plan
- Currently unclassified land would remain unclassified contributing to confusion about allowable land uses
- Would maintain existing resource management practices around the lake
- Would not respond to changed conditions or reflect current needs



# To Provide Verbal Comments

## Court Reporter



**US Army Corps  
of Engineers®**  
Little Rock District